



An analysis of the effects of strategic knowledge management as seen from the performance perspective of a manufacturing firm

ZHANG JIANJUN¹, AMIYA BHAUMIK²

¹PhD Research Scholar in Lincoln University College, Malaysia

² President in Lincoln University College, Malaysia

Abstract

The connection between KM and medicine is vital to any society's development. The development of information and the identification of potential indicators of expert behavior are essential to any hospital's long-term intellectual capital strategy. It has been proposed that managers could increase the effectiveness of healthcare facilities, like hospitals, by implementing KM practices. The purpose of this paper is to offer a trustworthy and accurate survey on knowledge management in hospital settings. They develop a new understanding survey with assistance from health experts and a thorough literature analysis. Through additional investigation, we were able to reduce the AKMI measurements to their fundamental elements and validate that they had accurately identified the things. Lastly, the new instrument's phonetic features are talked about. Examining the nine identified elements of knowledge management (KM)—perceptions of KM, intrinsic and extrinsic motives, information integration and distribution, collaboration, leadership, corporate culture, and obstacles—seems appropriate, valid, and trustworthy. By giving lawmakers and medical professionals information about knowledge management (KM) initiatives within health systems, the proposed question may improve the performance of health systems.

KEYWORD: Strategic Knowledge Management, Manufacturing Firm, Knowledge Implication, Business knowledge.

INTRODUCTION

In order to give businesses a competitive edge in their respective markets, knowledge management has become increasingly crucial in recent times. Scholars have examined a wide range of knowledge management topics. The relationship between knowledge management strategy and corporate success has not yet been thoroughly studied. Successful business strategies and knowledge management are frequently associated, though there is some contradicting data. Several types of corporate knowledge have been demonstrated to have a detrimental impact on the effectiveness of product development, per research by. Nonetheless, the majority of research looking at how knowledge management affects business outcomes has produced encouraging findings. The fact that earlier research didn't look into the variables that can lessen the link could be the cause of the contradictory results. between a company's strategic success and its knowledge management plan.



In the business world, "management of knowledge" refers to a collection of techniques that executives employ to support the creation, dissemination, and acquisition of information with the goal of boosting output and profitability. The process of assessing the potential value of future investments in the organization's knowledge, as well as the value of past investments, is known as knowledge management. Some businesses rely on formally structured techniques, like databases or software, to organize and distribute their internal body of knowledge, while others would rather have employees teach and learn from one another.

As per the description, knowledge management encompasses a wide range of diverse activities. These entail employees teaching, learning from, and sharing best practices with one another. One chance for knowledge management, according to, is to acquire implicit knowledge and apply it to an organization's operations. Knowledge management may be embraced by management as an organizational idea. Since different methods are used to gather, generate, transmit, and share different types of information, it is imperative to manage both explicit and tacit information in different ways. Knowledge needs to be acquired, generated, transferred, and shared in order to be preserved as an asset.

A company is considered to be operating in the knowledge age if it gathers and analyzes data before making decisions based on it. For businesses to Instead of making the same mistakes that led them into problems in the first place, they must learn from their past experiences in order to succeed in the difficult economic climate of the modern day. The success of a company's efforts to broaden its knowledge base depends on its executives' capacity to keep an eye on the most recent developments in their sector, integrate the new knowledge they acquire, and incorporate it into the organization's already substantial body of knowledge. Companies may be excellent at benchmarking against leaders in the field; they can rely on strategic alliances to obtain the knowledge resources needed for their business; and they can obtain external knowledge by researching previous products and learning crucial information about the offering. Talks with clients and Vendors may offer companies new perspectives on the industry to supplement the results of their own in-house investigations.

The production and dissemination of new knowledge are increasingly crucial variables in assessing a country's degree of economic success. Information is becoming more widely acknowledged as a valuable asset due to its inherent nature and its representation of highly mobile individuals' tacit knowledge. There are several contradictory characteristics that set knowledge apart from other commodities, despite the fact that it is increasingly seen as a commodity or intellectual asset. It is important to remember that knowledge is not lost through application, nor is it consumed through transmission. makes the additional finding that, while there In any given organization, there might be an abundance of information, access to it might be restricted, and most pertinent data is lost when workers leave the building at the end of the day.

A company's ability to foster an environment where employees can freely discuss and exchange ideas is critical to its success. Employees, however, require a reward for sharing their expertise.



In actuality, not every employee will voluntarily share their experience unless they have first weighed the benefits and drawbacks of doing so and made a decision based on that evaluation. Some people think that an organization's culture is what allows its members to grow, obtain, disseminate, and control information. Several authors have expressed this point of view. Furthermore, organizational culture aids in the creation of a competitive edge by setting boundaries that facilitate individual communication and/or limiting the amount of information processed to only that which is truly helpful. Many individuals in positions of authority are aware that the most crucial elements in determining an individual's success are interdependent behaviors like cooperation, sharing of information, and mutual support. Consequently, businesses need to cultivate the culture that will allow them to transfer, transform, and use information effectively. Liao, Ying (2019).

REVIEW OF LITERATURE:

This chapter's goal is to perform an analysis of pertinent literature in order to obtain an comprehension of the relationship between corporate strategy and intellectual capital in strategic alliances as well as the impact of collaborative knowledge management on business success. It can be divided into three primary parts. They will review the studies that were done on the strategic orientation of the organization in the first section. It accomplishes this by utilizing a sizable body of research to offer a thorough, standardized approach to comprehending the overall trajectory of the company. This article will revisit the knowledge-based viewpoint in its second section. The expertise approach to strategy creation is introduced first, followed by a direct comparison of the expertise perspective on strategic alliances with other theoretical stances that look into the same topic. is displayed. An extensive analysis of research contrasting knowledge generation and active learning as organizational learning strategies is presented in this article. The researchers assess the literature on the relationship between organizational learning and successful business operations in their conclusion.

Knowledge Management Approach:

The study's conclusions indicate that two different kinds of teams were successful. The real economy, which depends on meeting the need for new information, is the general alternative to the first strategy, which relates to "an integrated network that tends to concentrate on the dissemination and deploying expertise of the current company." Thus, "the first approach concentrates on information exchange and distribution, while the second approach concentrates on the invention of chemistry and mechanical of each type of knowledge." Reference required] Furthermore, knowledge can be divided into two different categories: explicit and tacit knowledge and cognition. The institution's ability to function depends on both areas of expertise (McCleney, 2019).



Orientation Strategic:

In the context of business, "strategic orientation" describes the overarching plan that upper management employs to assess and manage a company's internal and external environments in order to achieve the greatest degree of success. It outlines and illustrates how an organization ranks the various stakeholders—customers, rivals, partners, and employees—that it takes into account when developing its strategic planning. It covers a wide range of concepts that affect how competitively a company can operate in its sector. It allows businesses to implement a strategic

a mindset that the company's leadership thinks will improve performance. As such, the manner in which its employees and those from other organizations interact with one another shapes the culture of the company. It is crucial to a business's ability to compete in the market because it gives employees a sense of "unified direction," which boosts the enterprise's profitability.

Therefore, when talking about the topic of strategy formulation, a strategic point of view is crucial. I will review the information that is currently available regarding the general trajectory of the market in the pages that follow (Hitt, 2016).

Strategic Orientation as a structural dimension:

A thorough analysis of the pertinent literature was carried out since establishing the appropriate measures is a crucial first step in using a comparable strategy. [Reference required] [Reference required] The factors that significantly impact institutional competitiveness can be broken down into three major strategic orientations of the company, according to a review of the existing literature that included publications on strategic planning from physician journals and prestigious magazines. The three primary strategic orientations are governance, alliances, and learning (also referred to as relationships).

The first dimension focuses on the idea that cooperative interactions are a crucial part of strategic planning (Contractor & Lorange, 2002). Corporate objectives have shifted from focusing on building brands within specific businesses or industries to attempting to compete through the formation of Understanding organizational choice for the kind of interaction within alliances is one of the most crucial things for researchers and practitioners to do, especially when it comes to friendships with other institutions. This part is referred to as "alliance orientation". The second dimension is concerned with the actions and attitudes of those who are in charge of creating, implementing, and adjusting strategic planning. This is the part that's often called the upper echelons, top managers, dominant coalition, leaders, or strategic leadership. This is one of the organization's dimensions and is known as its "leadership orientation." The third and last dimension deals with the organization's preferred teaching methodology. Most people agree that businesses are cognitive enterprises. that actively try to understand what's going on both inside and outside of them. Every business has a different way of understanding the environment in which they operate, which is sometimes called a "learning style." This aspect focuses on an organization's learning orientation (Davis & Useem, 2019).



Orientation of Alliances:

Many businesses form tactical partnerships with other businesses in order to gain a competitive advantage that can only be obtained "in vacuum and can only be developed" by the company alone. Compared to competing tactics like going it alone or entering into sporadic, short-term agreements like market capital, alliances offer advantages. These benefits include freedom from budgetary constraints, lower expenses related to the creation of new products, and access to information that might not be accessible otherwise. Businesses that prioritize alliances are more likely to give up the independence of viewing every transaction as a distinct "discrete exchange," the result of which could be decided by entirely objective criteria like trading costs. Businesses that prioritize autonomy outsource some tasks through hands-off partnerships and handle some tasks internally. "Costs are everything" in transactions is one of their guiding principles. Additionally, these companies are more likely to practice vertical integration, which is the practice of performing operations using internal resources as opposed to relying on outside suppliers. Conversely, alliance-oriented companies believe that forming relationships with other businesses can increase their chances of success in the market, as opposed to trying to go it alone. Like the eighteenth-century New According to English philosopher Jonathan Edwards, humans are social beings rather than having an independent essence. Businesses in the same sector have the option of going solo or going networked; those that choose the latter are not forced to do so by sectoral structural features; rather, they consciously choose to put cooperation ahead of rivalry. Thus, a group's alliance approach can be defined as its inclination to look for, establish, and manage coalitions (a notion that Kale, Dyer, and Singh have also referred to as "alliance competitiveness" and "alliance capacity"). Companies with an alliance orientation are more likely to establish strategic alliances with other companies as compared to those without (Hunt, 2018).

Leadership Orientation:

An entrepreneurial mindset characterizes an adaptable leader. if it consistently looks for new opportunities, assumes calculated risks, and engages in fierce market competition. Within a business, command can be defined as the manager or the most powerful group. No matter how big or small the organization, its leaders are responsible for giving the group direction and encouraging everyone to participate in order to achieve the intended goals. In order for followers to willingly commit to and behave in the organization's best interests, leaders are constantly working to make sense of group activities. Leaders who welcome change and innovation to gain a competitive advantage, who aren't scared to take calculated risks for the benefit of their company, and who competitive businesses typically perform better. Because leaders employ a leadership style that is unique to their company and its business conditions, differences in the chairmanship of a company can have a substantial impact on its ability to compete in the market.



This suggests that while creative, proactive, and risk-takers may be characteristics of leaders, the ways in which these qualities manifest themselves will differ greatly based on the specific leadership style in question (Barringer, 2018).

Strategic planning research makes a distinction between a manager's leadership orientation and an inventive approach. Entrepreneurs employ an effectuation style of leadership, which differs from administrative leadership in that it necessitates a distinct way of doing, thinking, and reasoning. Managerial-minded leaders use a different approach than their creative equals. When it comes to making critical decisions, entrepreneurs are more likely to rely on heuristics than administrative leaders. Moreover, they are more likely to make important decisions based on incomplete information. Because of this, in order to generate original solutions to issues, entrepreneurial leaders frequently use non-linear and speculative thought processes. Therefore, individuals with an entrepreneurial mindset have a different impact on a company's competitiveness than do those with a bureaucratic mindset (Michael, 2020).

Orientation to Learning:

The ability of an organization to change how it views the main concerns and challenges facing the business, even when those changes are gradual, is known as knowledge acquisition. The "mental schemas" that each group adheres to are shared by its members. The staff of the organization has a clear and efficient method to think about the issues and challenges that the institution is currently facing thanks to the dominant psychological pattern, which is utilized by the majority of participants and is occasionally referred to as the "dominant logic" of the institution. The prevailing logic functions as an integrated framework for the body of current organizational knowledge as well as a filter and lens for interpreting data. There is a relationship and mutual reliance between these three tasks. It forms the basis for many justifications, implicit models, and hidden narratives that can be found throughout the business and dictates most of the institution's thought processes. It brings about a "intrinsic harmony" within the company, which makes it conceivable for concepts that conflict with the culture to be reworked or outright rejected. The prevailing logic of the company will progressively solidify over time. With time, the ability to act independently and thoughtfully starts to decline, and organizational decisions start to be made more robotically and routinely. The institution's inability to change and the persistent repetition of the dominant logic cause it to become "a physical hard imprint of an entity that was once living." The institution becomes fossilized due to its incapacity to change. As a result, it is crucial that companies be able to modify the dominant logic that currently directs their operations by taking part in the process of education. Corporate scholars have identified two modes of cognitive skill development: self-learning and able to build up. The more popular of the two is the learning method. In contrast to learning methods, which involve attempting to identify and rectify errors with respect to a specific set of existing norms, conceptual knowledge acquisition typically involves taking a "double look" at the company by



challenging the significance of its governance norms.

Knowledge-Based Perspective:

The expertise perspective is a new and innovative theoretical model that is gaining traction in the management literature. According to the expertise view, businesses exist because they provide their clients with simple organizational solutions. Customers can actually create more with these capabilities, share, utilize, and safeguard their knowing than they can with the expensive and constrained legal systems that are currently available. What they refer to as "online networks" are the establishments where disparate data is transformed "into commercially valuable products and services by the use of a set of greater work in a discipline." Compared to their rivals, they can generate, integrate, and apply data to business operations more successfully because they have better systems. It should therefore come as no surprise to anyone that scholars consider education to be a crucial element in comprehending the formation of corporations. The expertise approach states that a company's structure, which reflects variations in its operational procedures, is what sets it apart from the competition. The fundamental One of the biggest challenges any business faces is figuring out how to use the information that its employees have, but aren't allowed to give to just one person in full. Stated differently, company heterogeneity arises from differences between companies regarding the efficient utilization of widely disseminated information that is available to the organization. A business may have a competitive edge if it is especially adept at managing its information and uses that knowledge to create economic rents.

The Knowledge-Based Perspective on Strategic Partnerships: Globally, the quantity of interorganizational strategic alliances has rapidly increased since a few years ago. This is a result of the growing number of companies that are beginning to look into the advantages of forming alliances with other companies. Because of the phenomenon's attraction, researchers have connected tactical interfirm connections to a wide range of distinct conceptual frameworks. It is not surprising that there is a great deal of disarray in the body of research on merger and acquisition given the wide range of disciplines that have contributed to our understanding of the topic. In the past few years, the awareness approach has begun to emerge as a distinct and integrative conceptual framework to help explain and comprehend tactical collaborative alliances. [Reference required] [Reference required] It builds upon the main theories that are currently in use to analyze strategic alliances, including transaction cost economics (TCE),

Resource-based perspective and resource dependency theory (RDT) both expand upon and go beyond these concepts (RBV).

Acquiring Knowledge:

Globally, the quantity of interorganizational strategic alliances has rapidly increased since a few years ago. This is due to the fact that a growing number of companies are beginning to consider



the advantages of forming alliances with other companies. Because of the phenomenon's attraction, researchers have connected tactical interfirm connections to a wide range of distinct conceptual frameworks. It is not surprising that there is a great deal of disarray in the body of research on merger and acquisition given the wide range of disciplines that have contributed to our understanding of the topic. Throughout the duration of the In order to clarify and comprehend tactical collaborative alliances, the awareness approach has begun to emerge over the past few years as a distinct and integrative conceptual framework. [Reference required] [Reference required] It builds upon and transcends the main theories currently in use to analyze strategic alliances, including resource dependency theory (RDT), transaction cost economics (TCE), and resource-based perspective (RBV).

Creation of Knowledge:

One area of collaborative organizational learning in strategic partnerships that receives little attention is the creation of scientific knowledge from nothing, or ex nihilo, across corporate boundaries. This point of view highlights a particular form of inter-organizational knowledge management wherein two distinct entities collaborate to gain expertise in an area in which neither was strong on its own. At every level, implicit knowledge is changed through a continuous, cyclical process into explicit. Knowledge is considered "explicit" when it can be "articulated" in a way that at least some other people can access it through implies like written or spoken statements, tales, drawings, pictures, or other representations. It can also be saved in quantifiable references like data sets, booklets, papers, papers, etc. that can be retrieved using a variety of online or offline transfer methods. Consider site mining software, which receives data from various sources and combines it in creative ways to produce hitherto unobtainable insights (such as route and log analysis). They are more knowledgeable than they can express, but the quantity of data that can be translating ideas into words and figures is just the tip of the iceberg.

Knowledge-based perspective: glancing from the rearview mirror to the front:

Knowledge management literature currently in publication frequently sees information as a social construct derived from people's unique historical interpretations. It is acknowledged that knowledge is a social construct and that it is essentially relative. Everyone has a different perspective on the past, based on how they interpret the events that occurred, when it comes to understanding what happened in the past. Consensus and agreement are therefore necessary for the social formation of knowledge. Nevertheless, produce new knowledge by seeing with your imagination as well as by improving your understanding of the past. the prospects for the future. For example, scientists' creative conception of future knowledge domains and their expertise in current knowledge subjects may have contributed to the growth of the commercial VCR industry. The idea of "a television image recorder that would record the visual signals of



broadcast on an affordable tape," came from General Sarnoff, the CEO of RCA, and it sparked early research into VCRs. In the present, the researcher acts not only from what they have learnt from the past but also from what they believe will happen in the future.

Knowledge Management and Performance in Organizations:

Creating a link between behavior and knowledge is one of the knowledge-based perspective's most beneficial contributions to corporate strategy. But this is the precise domain in which the current state of the art falls shortest. The majority of studies either completely ignore performance or only make the suggestion that specific knowledge management tasks, like knowledge acquisition and production, would improve business performance and help create a competitive advantage. Using a questionnaire, Ordonez de Pablo investigated the viewpoints of executives in the Spanish industrial sector (Managing directors, KM officers, or HR directors). He found that senior managers evaluated their subordinates' performance in a variety of ways depending on how they knew the material. Gold, Malhotra, and Segars also looked into the relationship between performance and the company's perception of knowledge. Researchers found a positive correlation between high-level executives' perceptions of the institution's ability and their perceptions of their company's knowledge management capabilities. to identify fresh business opportunities, work across departmental boundaries to jointly develop new innovations, swiftly launch those innovations onto the market, adjust to market changes, optimize efficiency, and more.

CONCLUSION

Business management has a meaningful and significant impact on the internal procedures of information companies. It is the businesses' duty to create the conditions necessary for their employees to successfully contribute to the expansion of the knowledge management system. Given the positive effects that organizational culture, legacy, governance, and trust have on intellectual capital, one could conclude that foundational changes to organizational frameworks are necessary and that current hierarchical plans do not meet current requirements. One important component in the overall formation of the workplace is the informal interactions amongst employees. of the structure of the organization. For knowledge-based organizations, the key differentiators between machine and organic structures are the design elements that are dictated by causal relationships. Contact shouldn't be limited to groups, divisions, or even organizational boundaries; rather, the structure of the organization should be sufficiently flexible and dynamic to facilitate easy communication between employees and the external environment. Stated differently, the process of forming contacts is greatly aided by the informal aspects of organizational structures. For this reason, it is advised that companies assess the capacities of their different parts in order to assign potential work to employees. On the one hand, this will



greatly increase the capacity of the personnel and, conversely, dismantle the barriers posed by institutional power structures and formal bureaucracy, which impede the development of talent and the equitable and just distribution of information.

Six distinct pieces of advice can be offered to leaders and information managers:

(1) While knowledge tools and programs can be used by leaders to promote a particular cultural shift, doing so calls for persistence, patience, and a wide range of approaches and techniques that are supported by comprehensible logic.

To increase local influence, it is suggested that those with the right attitudes and the potential to be role models be encouraged and elevated. These people ought to possess the appropriate mindsets. (3) Technology use could facilitate the process of acclimating to a new culture, but only if it is combined with guidance and training that guarantees the adoption of new behaviors in people's daily lives.

(4) Knowledge management training may be offered in compliance with the core principles of cultural transformation. While the process of removing obstacles to performance development is greatly valued, it does not change the ingrained customs, practices, or presumptions that make up the business culture.

(5) If changes are required to the organizational culture, a formal assessment must be conducted to identify the aspects of the culture that require modification and the rationale behind them.

(6) It is not a good idea to do things or ask for advice. that are transient in order to alter deeply embedded beliefs and ideals.

REFERENCE

- Ying Liao, Robert S., & Norton, David P. 2019. *The Execution Premium: Linking Strategy to Operations for Competitive Advantage*. Boston, MA: Harvard Business Press.
- Tsang, Stuart. 2020. *The Origins of Order: Self-organization and Selection in Evolution*. New York: Oxford University Press.
- Denisi, Stylianos, & Kovach, Jeremy. 2019 *Innovation at Cooper Lighting 2.0: Continuous Improvement of the Innovation Process?* Atlanta, GA: Working Case at Georgia Institute of Technology.
- Virtainlahti, Barbara W, & Hitt, Michael A. 2019 A causal model of linkages among environmental dimensions, macro organizational characteristics, and performance. *Academy of Management Journal*, .
- Hislop, Sunder, & Srinivasan, Kannan. 2017. Broader product line: a necessity to achieve success? *Management Science*.
- Tang, Mikko, & Jokinen, Mikko. 2021. Strategy, uncertainty and the focused factory in international process manufacturing. *Journal of Operations Management*.



- Hasan Alizar, Naveen, & Poulsen, Annette B. 2018. Managers of financially distressed firms: villains or scapegoats? *The Journal of Finance*.
- Serrat, W Chan, Hwang, Peter, & Burgers, Willem P. 2020. Multinationals' diversification and the risk-return trade-off. *Strategic Management Journal*.
- Ferraresi, Jean-Jacques, & Martimort, David. 2021. *The Theory of Incentives I: The Principal-Agent Model*. Princeton, NJ: Princeton University Press. Lal, Rajiv, & Srinivasan, V. 1993. Compensation Plans for Single- and Multi-product Salesforces: An Application of the Holmstrom-Milgrom Model. *Management Science*.
- Abuabbous, Joseph, & Giachetti, Claudio. 2018. International diversification on manufacturing operations: Performance implications and moderating forces. *Journal of Operations Management*.
- Jacson, Christoph H., & Bode-Greuel, Kerstin. 2017. Evaluating growth options as sources of value for pharmaceutical research projects. *R&D Management*.
- Olusanva, Christoph H., & Kavadias, Stylianos. 2021. Implementing strategy through projects. Pages 225–251 of: Morris, Peter W. G., Pinto, Jeffrey K., & Soderlund, Jonas (eds), *The Oxford Handbook of Project Management*. Oxford: Oxford University.
- Tseng, Christoph H., & Tapper, U.A. Steffan. 2020 Implementing a strategy-driven performance measurement system for an applied research group. *Journal of Product Innovation Management*.
- Hrebiniak, Christoph H., & Terwiesch, Christian. 2018. *Handbook of New Product Development Management*. Ch: Coordination and Information Exchange: Butterworth Heinemann.
- Drucker, Celine, & Llerena, Daniel. 2020. Green Consumer Behaviour: an Experimental Analysis of Willingness to Pay for Remanufactured Products. *Business Strategy and the Environment*.
- Ali, Jurgen. 2019. Incentives in New Product Development Projects and the Role of Target Costing. *Management Science*.
- Sawy, Paul, & Roberts, John. 2021. Rationalizability, Learning, and Equilibrium in Games with Strategic Complementarities. *Econometrica*.
- Edward, C. Chet, ogilvie, dt, & Glick, William H. 2019 Assessing the external environment: an enrichment of the archival tradition. *Research Methodology in Strategy and Management*.
- Gupta, Yuri, Pollock, Timothy G, & Porac, Joseph F. 2020. Are more resources always better for growth? Resource stickiness in market and product expansion. *Strategic Management Journal*.



- Liao, Sanjog, Nair, Harikesh S, & Daljord, Øystein. 2018. Homogenous Contracts for Heterogeneous Agents: Aligning Salesforce Composition and Compensation. Working Paper.
- Saisuthanawit, Mark, & Krause, Daniel R. 2018. Re-exploring the relationship between flexibility and the external environment. *Journal of Operations Management*.
- Davenport, Krishna. 2020. Diversification Strategy, Profit Performance, and the Entropy Measure. *Strategic Management Journal*, 6(3), 239–255.
- Lioria, Leslie E., Cardinal, Laura B., & Miller, C. Chet. 2018. Curvilinearity in the Diversification-Performance Linkage: An Examination of Over Three Decades of Research. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(2), 155–174.
- Al-Ali, Cerag, Ferguson, Mark, & Toktay, L. Beril. 2018. Remanufacturing under Warranty and Consumer Returns. Working Paper.
- Branes, Dirk. 2020. Project Management for New Product Development. *Project Management Journal*.
- Storey, Michael. 2019 *Competitive Advantage: Creating and Sustaining Superior Performance*. New York: Simon and Schuster.
- Kruger, Gongming, Khoury, Theodore A., Peng, Mike W., & Qian, Zhengming. 2017 The Performance Implications of Intra- And Inter-Regional Geographic Diversification. *Strategic Management Journal*.
- Schutz, Kamalini. 2020. Managing Product Variety: An Integrative Review and Research Directions. *Production and Operations Management*.
- Musshref, J, & Wally, Stefan. 2019. Strategic decision speed and firm performance. *Strategic Management Journal*.
- Cragg, Julio J., & Saloner, Garth. 2019. Benefits of Narrow Business Strategies. *The American Economic Review*.
- Kruger, Caroline. 2021. Datamonitor Analyst: Product failure is the Area 51 of the food industry.
- Ratique, David G, Hitt, Michael A, & Ireland, R Duane. 2018. Managing firm resources in dynamic environments to create value: Looking inside the black box. *Academy of Management Review*.
- Janpeungporn, Wickham. 2019. Manufacturing - missing link in corporate strategy. *Harvard Business Review*.
- Sokkar, Wickham. 2017. The focused factory. *Harvard Business Review*.
- Doate, Shara. 2020. Intel Cuts Its Outlook; Giant Blames Thai Flood for \$1 Billion Drop in Sales Goal. *The Wall Street Journal*.



- Muhammad, Bob. 2021. Deere Bets Big on Expanding Global Demand. The Wall Street Journal.
- Ray, Honnold, Vincent, & Wise, Jeremy. 2018. Remanufactured Goods: An Overview of the U.S. and Global Industries, Markets, and Trade.
- Wheelen, Stephen. 2016. Advertising with subjective horizontal and vertical product differentiation. Review of Industrial Organization.
- McInemey, C. (2019). Knowledge Management and the Dynamic Nature of Knowledge. Journal of the American Society for Information Science and Technology.
- 53(12), 1009-1018.
- Hansen, M. and Haas, M. (2018). Different Knowledge, Different Benefits: Toward A Productivity Perspective On Knowledge Sharing In Organizations. Academy of Management Proceeding.
- Schulz, M. and Lobe, A. 1. (2018). Codification and Tacitness As K M Strategies An Empirical Exploration. Journal of High Technology Management Research 12,139-165.
- Neely, A. (2019). Defining Performance Measurement: Adding to the Debate. Performance Measurement Association, 4(2), 14-15.
- Keskin, H. (2020). The Relationships Between Explicit and Tacit Oriented K M Strategy, and Firm Performance. Journal of American Academy of Business, Cambridge. ProQuest Central pg. 169
- Bapuji, H. and Crossan, M. (2021). From Raising Questions to Providing Answers: Reviewing Organizational Learning Research. Management Learning, 35(4): 397-417.
- Misterek, S.D.A., Schroeder, R.G. and Bates, K.A., The nature of the link between manufacturing strategy and organizational culture. In Manufacturing Strategy: Process and Content, edited by C.A. Voss, pp. 331–352, 2016 (London: Chapman & Hall).
- Beckett, A.J., Wainwright, C.E.R. and Bance, D., Implementing an industrial continuous improvement system: A knowledge management case study. Industr. Manage. Data Syst., 2000, 100, 330–338.
- Hitt, M. A., Dacin, M. T., Tyler, A. L., & Park, D. 1997. Understanding the differences in Korean and U.S. executives' strategic orientations. Strategic Management Journal, 18: 159-167.
- McKelvey, B. 1975. Guidelines for the empirical classification of organizations. Administrative Science Quarterly, 20: 509-525.
- Venkatraman, N. 2015. Strategic orientation of business enterprises: the construct, dimensionality, and measurement. Management Science, 35: 942-962.
- Davis, G. F., & Useem, M. 2019. Top management, company directors, and corporate



- control. In A. Pettigrew, H. Thomas, & R. Whittington (Eds.), *Handbook of Strategy and Management*. London: Sage.
- Grewal, R., & Tansuhaj, P. 2017. Building organizational capabilities for managing economic crisis: The role of market orientation and strategic flexibility. *Journal of Marketing*, 65: 67-80.
 - Chun, R. 2016. *European Journal of Marketing*, Special edition: 'Corporate identity and corporate marketing'. *Corporate Reputation Review*, 4(3): 276-283.
 - Covin, J. G., & Slevin, D. P. 2018. A conceptual model of entrepreneurship as firm behavior. *Entrepreneurship Theory & Practice*(Fall): 7-25.
 - Hunt, S. D., & Lambe, C. J. 2018. Marketing's contribution to business strategy: Market orientation, relationship marketing and resource-advantage theory. *International Journal of Management Reviews*, 2(1):
 - McAdam, R. 2015. Knowledge management as a catalyst for innovation within organizations: A qualitative study. *Knowledge and Process Management*, 7(4): 233- 241.
 - Hennart, J.-F. 2017. A transaction costs theory of joint ventures. *Strategic Management Journal*, 9: 361-374.
 - Dyer, J. H., & Nobeoka, K. 2019. Creating and managing a high-performance knowledge-sharing network: The Toyota case. *Strategic Management Journal*,
 - Alavi, P.M. Integration of health and social care: A case of learning and knowledge management. *Health Soc. Care Community* 2020,
 - Das, T. K., & Teng, B.-S. 2020. The dynamics of alliance conditions in the alliance development process. *Journal of Management Studies*, 39: 725-746.
 - Kogut, B. 2018. The network as knowledge: Generative rules and the emergence of structure. *Strategic Management Journal*, 21(3): 405-425.
 - Barringer, B. R., & Harrison, J. S. 2018. Walking a tightrope: Creating value through interorganizational relationships. *Journal of Management*, 26: 367-403.
 - Michael, S., Storey, D., & Thomas, H. 2020. Discovery and coordination in strategic management and entrepreneurship. In M. A. Hitt, R. D. Ireland, S. M. Camp, & D. L. Sexton (Eds.), *Strategic Entrepreneurship: Creating a New Mindset*. Oxford: Blackwell Publishers Ltd.
 - Sarasvathy, S. D., Dew, N., Velamuri, R., & Venkataraman, S. 2016. Three Views of entrepreneurial opportunity. In Z. J. Acs, & D. B. Audretsch (Eds.), *Handbook of Entrepreneurship Research: An Interdisciplinary Survey and Introduction*: 141-160. Norwell, MA: Kluwer.
 - Miller, K. D. 2017. Knowledge inventories and managerial myopia. *Strategic*



Management Journal, 23(8): 689-706.

- Argyris, C., & Schon, D. A. 2017. Organizational Learning: A Theory of Action Perspective: Addison-Wesley.
- Alamsjah, F. (2020) Key Success Factors in Implementing Strategy: Middle-Level Managers' Perspectives. *Procedia Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 24, 1444-1450
- Lukas, B. A., & Ferrell, O. C. 2019. The effect of market orientation on product innovation. *Journal of the Academy of Market Science*, 28: 239-247.
- Fiol, C. M. 2016. Revisiting an identity-based view of sustainable competitive advantage. *Journal of Management*, 27: 691-699.
- Peters, T. 2017. *Liberation Management*. New York: Pan Books.
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Santos, F. M. 2019. Knowledge-based view: A new theory of strategy? In A. Pettigrew, H. Thomas, & R. Whittington (Eds.), *Handbook of Strategy and Management*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.
- Madhavan, R., Gynawali, D. R., & He, J. 2017. Two's company, three's a crowd? Triads in cooperative-competitive networks. *Academy of Management Journal*, 47(6): 918- 927.
- Inkpen, A. C. 2018. Learning, knowledge management, and strategic alliances: So many studies, so many unanswered questions. In F. J. Contractor, & P. Lorange (Eds.), *Cooperative Strategies and Alliances: 267-290*. Amsterdam: Pergamon.
- Lei, D. 2019. Competence-building, technology fusion and competitive advantage. *International Journal of Technology Management*, 14(4): 208-237.
- Nonaka, I., Reinmoller, P., & Toyama, R. 2018. Integrated information technology systems for knowledge creation. In M. Dierkes, A. B. Antal, J. Child, & I. Nonaka (Eds.), *Handbook of Organizational Learning and Knowledge: 827-848*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Patriotta, G. 2019. On studying organizational knowledge. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 2: 3-12
- Ford, C. M. 2020. The futurity of decisions as a facilitator of organizational creativity and change. *Journal of Organizational Change Management*, 15(6): 635-646.
- Tanriverdi, H. 2019. Information technology relatedness, knowledge management capability, and performance of multibusiness firms. *MIS Quarterly*, 29(2): 311-334.
- Eisenhardt, K. M., & Santos, F. M. 2019. Knowledge-based view: A new theory of strategy? In A. Pettigrew, H. Thomas, & R. Whittington (Eds.), *Handbook of Strategy and Management*. Thousand Oaks, CA: Sage Publications.



- Barney, J. (2017), “Firm resources and sustained competitive advantage”, *Journal of Management*, Vol. 17 No. 1, pp. 99-120.
- Heisig, P., Suraj, O.A., Kianto, A., Kemboi, C., Arrau, G.P. and Easa, N.F. (2016), “Knowledge management and business performance: global experts’ views on future research needs”, *Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol. 20 No. 6.
- Patterson, W. and Ambrosini, V. (2017), “Configuring absorptive capacity as a key process for research intensive firms”, *Technovation*, Vols 36/37.
- Hrebiniak, L. G. (2006). *Obstacles to Strategy Implementation*. *Organizational Dynamics*, 35(1)
- Davenport, T., D.W. De Long, and M.C. Beers, *Successful Knowledge Management Projects*. *Sloan Management Review*, Winter 2017:
- Hill, C.W.L. and G.R. Jones, *Strategic Mangement: An Integrated Approach*. 5th Edition ed. 2018, Boston: Houghton Mifflin Company.
- Bierly, P. and A. Chakrabarti, *Generic Knowledge Strategies in the U.S. Pharmaceutical Industry*, in *Knowledge and Strategy*, M.H. Zack, Editor.2017, Butterworth Heinemann: Boston.
- Choi, B. and H. Lee, *An Empirical Investigation of KM Styles and Their Effects on Corporate Performance*. *Information & Management*, 2018(in press).