



## How strategic knowledge management and the Internet of Things (iot) affect the performance and innovation of Chinese manufacturing businesses

LIU HUIYAN<sup>1</sup>, ABHIJIT GHOSH<sup>2</sup>

<sup>1</sup>PhD Research Scholar in Lincoln University College, Malaysia

<sup>2</sup> Dean in Lincoln University College, Malaysia

### Abstract

In the context of the Internet of Things, new disruptive technologies are changing how organizations manage their knowledge (IoT). This necessitates reconsidering the traditional knowledge management system and putting in place a more transparent system to enable the free flow of ideas. The development of the organization's core internal knowledge management competencies will probably benefit from this tendency. Four interrelated concepts—knowledge management, open innovation, knowledge management aptitude, and creative brilliance—will be the focus of this environment's investigation. By applying the structural equation modeling method to the data collected from 685 Chinese businesses, this goal is achieved. The results show that implementing a knowledge management system strengthens an organization's internal knowledge-management capabilities, increasing its potential for innovation. Consequently, this opens up more opportunities for collaboration and provides access to previously untapped information sources. The study's findings are utilized to make significant inferences about academia and management, as well as to suggest potential directions for future research.

**Key words:** Internet of things, Strategic knowledge management, Manufacturing firm, Chinese innovation, Firm performance.

### INTRODUCTION

A new paradigm in the current context of modern information and communication technologies (ICTs) is the Internet of Things (IoT) paradigm. A collection of innovative digital technologies known as the "Internet of Things" has an impact on both people and companies. Businesses are progressively integrating disruptive technologies into their operations to boost productivity by exchanging knowledge and gathering information. In order to preserve their competitive advantage in this global economy, businesses must establish suitable and pertinent knowledge management processes and capabilities. Information management and how to maximize its benefits at work have been the subject of an increasing amount of scholarly and applied research. Knowledge management is the process of recognizing and making use of a group's collective knowledge. In order to improve responsiveness to changes in the environment, creativity, and competitiveness. When it comes to their creation, application, and general success rates (IT), information technology-based knowledge management systems have gotten comparatively little research attention (Kim and Kim, 2016; Scuotto et al., 2016). Since many organizations are putting knowledge management systems in place to make it easier to create, share, and store knowledge,



this represents a significant gap in scientific business knowledge. Using ICT tools, experimental technology platforms, e-service applications, and other information society infrastructures to create digital ecosystems can give businesses a competitive edge by facilitating the exchange and collection of data and information, especially in light of the movement's recent and growing momentum. This phenomenon is changing how innovation is initially carried out.

The outcomes of initiatives to enhance knowledge management have been patchy and ambiguous, despite notable advancements in a number of knowledge management domains. So why aren't there more research studies on the circumstances and means by which knowledge management initiatives can enhance output and productivity? As a result, the relationship between knowledge management and corporate performance is receiving more attention. It's also typical for knowledge management (KM) research to ignore the significance of combining internal and external knowledge into a comprehensive approach and to concentrate only on internal knowledge. In today's dynamic environment, internal knowledge management capacity, or KMC, is becoming more and more important to businesses as a way to manage knowledge flows both to and from the outside world and within the organization. As the name implies, KMC is all about The capacity of an organization to search for and hold onto information both inside the organization where it works and outside of it. Because of this, businesses frequently build alliances with other ecosystem participants, which promotes a lively exchange of knowledge. Firms can and should use KMS that leverage state-of-the-art ICTs and external knowledge sources as a direct result of the IoT phenomenon. This will lead to improved innovative performance, which is defined as the capacity to introduce new products/services and processes or open up new markets (Santoro, 2017).

## **REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

The scholarly discourse surrounding the efficient handling of innovation in an era where the Internet of Things will be the prevailing technology is still in its early stages. Talks about the Internet of Things (IoT) are currently occurring in three major categories. The governmental, corporate, and academic communities are some examples of these communities. Governments worldwide have initiated various initiatives, including those concerning the standardization of procedures. The Industrial Internet Consortium (IIC) is the name of this initiative in the United States. Many academic departments have conducted research on the Internet of Things (IoT) against the backdrop of the digital age, yielding a wide range of perspectives and findings. There is a significant difference in the degrees of success demonstrated by the various sectors when it comes to the idea and application of the Internet of Things. technology. Just as an illustration, the consumer goods industry outperforms the financial services industry by a wide margin. Furthermore, the degree of disagreement that currently exists is difficult to accurately depict due to the ambiguity surrounding the definitions, layers, strategies, and implications of the internet of things. They will start by conducting a literature review that draws from a range of academic



disciplines and covers topics like digitalization, the Internet of Things (IoT), and industrial IoT in order to set the stage for the current conversation. To show that they have taken these steps, they present the findings of studies that were produced by the government and the corporate sector, considering their perspectives. This paper demonstrates how, in the last ten years, the corpus of literature has grown in terms of both the diversity of topics it covers and the classification schemes used to group those topics. The study incorporates ideas from the fields of systems thinking and philosophy of science to organize and standardize the review procedure as well as the results. To sum up, the outcomes of the discussion and assessment will now be categorized into four groups: digitalization, the internet of things (IoT), the industrial internet of things (IIoT), and electronic collaboration. The term "Internet of Things" describes a system of interconnected physical devices that can share information and communicate with one another over a range of network connections, such as coaxial, optical, and wireless. A wide range of possible outcomes are made possible by the application of real-time analytic hubs and information and communications technology (ICT) to currently in use digital technologies. Integrating and evaluating the information from multiple sensors

In order to reach more accurate conclusions than could be reached by using a single sensor, data fusion techniques combine the results from several different sensors into a single set of findings. Broadly speaking, the various methods of sensor fusion can be divided into three categories, which are as follows:

- (1) Raw data level fusion: This technique creates a new signal with a better signal-to-noise ratio by combining data from sensors with similar characteristics.
- (2) The process of combining data from several sensors that measure the same object to measure a single variable is known as multi-sensor fusion.
- (3) Fusion at the sensor level, which is the process of combining related sensor-derived features for decision-making; feature level fusion is the process of extracting unique features from several sensor signals in the time and frequency domains; and sensor level fusion is the process of combining related sensor-derived features for decision-making.

### **AN INVESTIATION AT THE ORGANIZATION LEVEL**

Organizational innovation (OI) is linked to the potential, actions, and results of entrepreneurial endeavors. The effects of OI on business ventures have significant implications for both recently launched and well-established corporations that are already in operation. OI, in particular, can provide business owners with a more comprehensive view of the possible opportunity landscape by enabling them to see prospects that are situated far from their current knowledge base. is crucial to take part in early-stage innovation processes that involve more than just information sharing between organizations. Organizations that want to innovate successfully frequently need to create or actively participate in innovation ecosystems, which bring together a wide range of creative



people at different stages of the process. They are able to come up with creative and practical answers to innovation-related issues even in the lack of a central organization. Organizational innovation is thought to produce a wide range of outcomes, including the development and marketing of a product. It also requires a diverse range of interactions and information flows (Chesbrough and Bogers 2014).

Prior to the establishment of a predetermined architecture for value creation within the ecosystem. The kind and level of complexity of the technology being developed will determine how valuable an innovation ecosystem is. Using the perspective of a network theorist, OI describes how innovative dynamic network structures develop through the dynamic interactions of several people over the course of the innovation lifecycle. Consequently, the issue of governance in these dynamic relationships is crucial to OI's success. Indeed, a central concern is the degree to which this kind of leadership ought to be "transparent." Because there are no hierarchical control structures, new "dynamic" theories must be developed in order to describe how "open" governance affects how many stakeholders co-evolve over time. the inventive process. Important aspects of "openness" in governance, including ownership of intellectual property, technology access, and social variables like transparent information policy, have been revealed by research on platform-based ecosystems (Benlian, Hilkert, and Hess 2015).higher level analysis conducted outside of the organization An important factor in determining the success of open innovation (OI) is the degree to which external stakeholders, be they individuals or communities, are involved in the innovation process as either recipients of information used to produce innovations or contributors to the development of new knowledge and innovations. Numerous different types of literature have been produced to discuss the role that these "external stakeholders."

The scope of knowledge generation and According to West (2014), innovation involves working with a larger network or ecosystem, collaborating with extra-organizational groups (like communities, consortia, and crowdsourcing), and individual contributors like user innovation. Even though all of these could be regarded as instances of OI involving third parties, it is important to remember that a variety of stakeholders may each contribute unique elements that, as a result of their distinctions, may reduce the efficacy of OI. There is a wide range of input that could be influential; some examples include objectives, aspirations, ideas, and solutions to problems, in addition to externally provided designs and patents. It seems beneficial to talk about the significance of outside stakeholders' contributions in addition to Working with a larger network or ecosystem, collaborating with extra-organizational groups (like communities, consortia, and crowdsourcing), and individual contributors (like user innovation) are all examples of innovation (West, 2014). Notwithstanding the fact that each of these scenarios could be regarded as an instance of open innovation involving a third party, it is crucial to remember that numerous stakeholders may each contribute unique elements that, due to their variances, may reduce the efficacy of open innovation. Many different types of input can have an impact,



including objectives, aspirations, ideas, and solutions to problems, as well as externally provided designs and patents. Talking about the significance of external stakeholders' contributions as well as the stages of the process of innovation that they participate in. There may be significant variations in participation rates because individuals and community members may have very different ideas about what inspires them to participate in OI processes. The factors that determine the extent to which external stakeholders participate in the innovation process are the nature of the process used to generate new information, the consequences of that process, and the degree of absorption. When the situation demands that experts play a key role in identifying issues and/or contributing their knowledge to solutions, as well as when the necessary information aligns with the preferences and user and customer expectations. When an organization's development is perceived as being closely tied to its cultural, historical, and traditional context, or when the knowledge in question is considered to be implicit, the participation of external stakeholders in the innovation process is reduced. The way that the diversity of internal and external contributors, in addition to cognitive distance, affect the dynamics of knowledge generation and the output of innovation, is another crucial element that needs further investigation.

## **CONCLUSION**

Research has demonstrated that knowledge management, or KM, is a successful tactic that may increase productivity and creativity. Additionally, the combination of customization and codification may result in better financial outcomes. The positive impact that knowledge management (KM) and KM strategy have on corporate performance and creativity is becoming increasingly apparent. Businesses now understand that having a clearly defined knowledge management strategy can increase output, productivity, innovation, and talent. These benefits enhance the link's ability to foster innovative performance patterns.

Researchers have devoted a great deal of time and energy to investigating and testing a range of organizational strategies aimed at fostering creative thinking. These kinds of academic papers emphasize the theoretical as well as the practical importance of these strategies for businesses. For a business to benefit from the invention, dissemination, and application of knowledge as well as to provide the service that could enable the organization to realize its full potential for competitive advantage, knowledge creation is crucial. The approach to knowledge management is seen as a flexible capacity focused on the ongoing improvement of a knowledge opportunity. This is achieved by utilizing the innovations created to develop, manipulate, encode, and employ the implicit and explicit information that is present within the organization. Should the user's personal information, privacy, or even physical safety be jeopardized, subsequently a smart device's or smart home's security is in jeopardy. This is particularly true for a smart home that uses the Internet of Things (IoT), as they are highly susceptible to different security risks that can arise from both inside and outside the house.



Strategic information can help close the gap between strategic management practises and entrepreneurial initiative. Effective management and strategic planning are two useful tactics for business owners who operate their own enterprises. A successful entrepreneur's toolkit must include both strategic management and intelligence, as many business objectives cannot be achieved without them. Furthermore, it is impossible to fully understand the results of the associated study without first taking into account the role that strategic management plays in inspiring entrepreneurial endeavors. The age group The ultimate objective of Chinese business strategy, management, and manufacturing firms is valuable to the company.

The management of knowledge is divided into four distinct but related phases. These processes involve the creation of knowledge, information storage and retrieval, knowledge transfer, and knowledge application. It is quite possible for an organization and its employees to be involved in multiple knowledge management process chains simultaneously. Because of this, knowledge management inside a company is not something that stays the same over time; rather, it is something that is always changing to account for new circumstances.

Businesses that embrace innovation and keep an open mind are open to trying new things have a higher chance of developing this skill. Consequently, there has been an increase in the volume of internal KMC that promotes open innovation practices. This is because it is generally agreed upon that one of the most crucial elements in the process of fostering innovation is greater transparency and collaboration among individuals. Therefore, it is highly advised that you keep an open mind as this may increase your chances of improving your skills. More specifically, an open approach promotes information generation, integration, and connectivity—all of which help an open innovation process function more effectively. This emphasizes the the significance of broadening a business's horizons and suggests the opportunities it could present for breaking into uncharted territory and gaining exclusive knowledge. This also shows how important it is for a business to broaden its scope. The organization may benefit from working with a diverse range of partners (partners' diversity) in terms of accessing new areas of expertise and generating new ideas, as this may lead to a constant supply of fresh ideas. However, given that the modern economy is built on information, the extensive use of modern information and communications technologies (ICTs) should at the very least test the openness of the businesses. The most senior level of an organization needs to be the one that innovates must first assess the worth of open and digital ecosystems.

It is anticipated that increasing the size of KMS would facilitate greater access to the system for more people, which would boost the investigation and exploitation of uncharted territory. Actually, in today's fast-paced world, the capacity to share, integrate, and create new knowledge is what drives the innovation processes that take place both within and between organizations. Establishing a knowledge management system (KMS) is the first step in constructing virtual



communities with outside partners to facilitate information exchange and foster departmental collaboration. Even though technological advancement is crucial, it is insufficient on its own to inspire artistic endeavors. To maximize the likelihood that they will collaborate, businesses should be cautious when choosing their partners for joint projects and adjust the intensity of their interactions accordingly.

## REFERENCES

- Mills, and T. Smith., "29P. Exploring the Impact of Knowledge Management Capabilities on Organizational Effectiveness", CONF-IRM 2010 Proceedings. 35, 2010.
- Adnan, K., and R. Akbar. 2019. "Limitations of Information Extraction Methods and Techniques for Heterogeneous Unstructured Big data[J]." *International Journal of Engineering Business Management* 11: 1847979019890771. doi:10.1177/1847979019890771.
- Afuah, A. 2014. *Business Model Innovation: Concepts, Analysis and Cases*. New York: Routledge.
- Afuah, A., and C. L. Tucci. 2012. "Crowdsourcing as a Solution to Distant Search." *Academy of Management Review* 37 (3): 355–375.
- Ahmad, N., Lodhi, M. S., Zaman, K., & Naseem, I. (2017). Knowledge management: a gateway for organizational performance. *Journal of the Knowledge Economy*, Vol. 8(No. 3), 859–876.
- Ahn, J.M., Ju, Y., Moon, T.H., Minshall, T., Probert, D., Sohn, S.Y., Mortara, L., 2016. Beyond absorptive capacity in open innovation process: the relationships between openness, capacities and firm performance. *Tech. Anal. Strat. Manag.* 1–20
- Akhtar, P., Khan, Z., Tarba, S. and Jayawickrama, U., 2018, "The Internet of Things, dynamic data and information processing capabilities, and operational agility". *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 136, 307-316.
- Alavi, M., Leidner, D.E., 2013. Review: knowledge management and knowledge management systems: conceptual foundations and research issues. *MIS Q.* 107–136.
- Alexy, O., G. George, and A. J. Salter. 2013. "Cui Bono? The Selective Revealing of Knowledge and Its Implications for Innovative Activity." *Academy of Management Review* 38 (2): 270–291.
- Alexy, O., J. Henkel, and M. W. Wallin. 2013. "From Closed to Open: Job Role Changes, Individual Predispositions, and the Adoption of Commercial Open-Source Software Development." *Research Policy* 42 (8): 1325–1340.
- Alexy, O., P. Criscuolo, and A. Salter. 2012. "Managing Unsolicited Ideas for R&D." *California Management Review* 54 (3): 116–139.



- Alfalla-Luque, R., Medina-Lopez, C., & Dey, P. K. (2013). Supply chain integration framework using literature review. *Production Planning & Control*, 24(8-9), 800-817.
- Ali, N., Tretiakov, A., Whiddett, D., & Hunter, I. (2016). Knowledge management systems success in healthcare: Leadership matters. *International Journal of Medical Informatics*, 97, 33-340.
- Allen, D. (2016). China's Smart Cities Connected by the 'Internet of Things'. EastWestBank. Retrieved from (<https://www.eastwestbank.com/ReachFurther/News/Article/China-Smart-Cities-Connected-By-The-Internet-Of-Things>)).
- Allen, D. (2016). China's Smart Cities Connected by the 'Internet of Things'. EastWestBank. Retrieved from (<https://www.eastwestbank.com/ReachFurther/News/Article/China-Smart-Cities-Connected-By-The-Internet-Of-Things>)).
- Aloini, D., Pellegrini, L., Lazzarotti, V., Manzini, R., 2015. Technological strategy, open innovation and innovation performance: evidences on the basis of a structural-equationmodel approach. *Meas. Bus. Excell.* 19 (3), 22–41.
- Al-Qutayri, M. A., & Jeedella, J. S. (2010). *Integrated Wireless Technologies for Smart Homes Applications*. INTECH Open Access Publisher.
- Ambos, T. C., Nell, P. C., and Pedersen, T., 2013, "Combining stocks and flows of knowledge: The effects of intra-functional and cross-functional complementarity". *Global Strategy Journal*, 3(4), 283-299.
- Amir Khanpour, M., Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A., 2014. Mobile marketing: a contemporary strategic perspective. *Int. J. Technol. Market.* 9 (3) (ISSN:1741-878X - Inderscience).
- Andries, P. and Czarnitzki D. (2011), "Small firm innovation performance and employee involvement", The University of Leuven, Leuven, available at: <http://ssrn.com/abstract/42109277>; <http://dx.doi.org/10.2139/ssrn.2109277> (accessed October 12, 2013).
- Ansoff, H.I., Kipley, D., Lewis, A.O., Helm-Stevens, R., & Ansoff, R. (2019). *Implanting strategic management*. Springer.
- Antons, D., and F. T. Piller. 2015. "Opening the Black Box of 'Not Invented Here': Attitudes, Decision Biases, and Behavioral Consequences." *Academy of Management Perspectives* 29 (2): 193–217.
- Ashton, K. (2015). America Last? The man who coined 'Internet of Things' says this is the first tech race America might lose. Retrieved from (<http://www.politico.com/agenda/story/2015/06/kevin-ashton-internet-of-things-in-the-us-000102>)).
- Ataseven, C., & Nair, A. (2017). Assessment of supply chain integration and performance relationships: A meta-analytic investigation of the literature. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 185, 252-265.





- ATIKU, S.O., GENTY, K.I. AND AKINLABI, B.H. (2011). Effect of Electronic Banking on Employees' Job Security in Nigeria, *European Journal of Humanities and Social Sciences*, 4(2), 68-84. [9].
- Atzori, L., Iera, A., and Morabito, G., 2010, "The internet of things: A survey". *Computer networks*, 54(15), 2787-2805.
- Autio, E., L. Dahlander, and L. Frederiksen. 2013. "Information Exposure, Opportunity Evaluation, and Entrepreneurial Action: An Investigation of an Online User Community." *Academy of Management Journal* 56 (5): 1348–1371.
- Aziz, H.H.A., Rizkallah, A., 2015. Effect of organizational factors on employees' generation of innovative ideas: empirical study on the Egyptian software development industry. *EuroMed J. Bus.* 10 (2):134–146. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/EMJB-12-2014-0044>.
- Azizi, SH., Asadnejhah, M., Zare Mirak Abadi, A., & Hosseini, S. S. (2011). Studying and Comparing Knowledge Management Dimensions between Public and Private Organizations. *Journal of Information Technology Management*, 2 (4), 99-116. (In Persian).
- Baldwin, H. (2012), "Time off to innovate: good idea or a waste of tech talent?", *Computerworld*, available at: [www.computerworld.com/s/article/9229373/Time\\_off\\_to\\_innovate\\_Good\\_idea\\_or\\_a\\_waste\\_of\\_tech\\_talent\\_](http://www.computerworld.com/s/article/9229373/Time_off_to_innovate_Good_idea_or_a_waste_of_tech_talent_) (accessed October 10, 2013).
- Baumgartner, J. (2010), "The critical role of trust in the innovation process", *innovation tools*, available at: [www.innovationtools.com/Articles/EnterpriseDetails.asp?a¼4507](http://www.innovationtools.com/Articles/EnterpriseDetails.asp?a¼4507) (accessed September 25, 2013).
- Ben-Daya, M., Hassini, E., & Bahroun, Z. (2017). Internet of things and supply chain management: a literature review. *International Journal of Production Research*, 1-24.
- Benlian, A., D. Hilkert, and T. Hess. 2015. "How Open is this Platform? The Meaning and Measurement of Platform Openness from the Complementors' Perspective." *Journal of Information Technology* 30 (3): 209–228.
- Beyca, O.F., Rao, P.K., Kong, Z., Bukkapatnam, STS. and Komanduri, R. (2016) Heterogeneous sensor data fusion approach for real-time monitoring in ultraprecision machining (UPM) process using nonparametric Bayesian clustering and evidence theory. *IEEE Transactions on Automation Science and Engineering*, 13(2), 1033–1044.
- Bogers, M., A. Afuah, and B. Bastian. 2010. "Users as Innovators: A Review, Critique, and Future Research Directions." *Journal of Management* 36 (4): 857–875.
- Bogers, M., Zobel, A.K., Afuah, A., Almirall, E., Brunswicker, S., Dahlander, L., ... Hagedoorn, J., 2016. The open innovation research landscape: established perspectives and emerging themes across different levels of analysis. *Ind. Innov.* 1–33



- Bolisani, E.; Bratianu, C. Knowledge and strategy formulation in a turbulent world. In *Emergent Knowledge Strategies: Strategic Thinking in Knowledge Management*; Bolisani, E., Bratianu, C., Eds.; Springer International Publishing: Cham, Switzerland, 2018; pp. 117–146.
- Borgia, E. (2014). The Internet of Things vision: Key features, applications and open issues. *Computer Communications*, 54, 1-31.
- Bosua, R.; Venkitachalam, K. Aligning strategies and processes in knowledge management: a framework. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 2013, 17, 331–346.
- Botta, A., De Donato, W., Persico, V., and Pescapé, A., 2016, “Integration of cloud computing and internet of things: a survey”. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 56, 684-700.
- Branwyn, G. (2015). An Insider’s Guide to Shenzhen Manufacturing. *MakeMagazine*. Retrieved from (<http://makezine.com/2015/06/15/making-in-shenzhen/>).
- Bresciani, S., Thrassou, A., Vrontis, D., 2013. Change through innovation in family businesses: evidence from an Italian sample. *World Rev. Entrep. Manag. Sustain. Dev.* 9 (2), 195–215.
- Bresciani, S., Thrassou, A., Vrontis, D., 2013. Change through innovation in family businesses: evidence from an Italian sample. *World Rev. Entrep. Manag. Sustain. Dev.* 9 (2), 195–215.
- Bresnahan, T., and S. Greenstein. 2014. “Mobile Computing: The Next Platform Rivalry.” *American Economic Review* 104 (5): 475–480.
- Bresnahan, T., and S. Greenstein. 2014. “Mobile Computing: The Next Platform Rivalry.” *American Economic Review* 104 (5): 475–480.
- Bughin, J., Chui M., & Manyika, J. (2015). An executive’s guide to the Internet of Things. Retrieved from ([http://www.mckinsey.com/Insights/Business\\_Technology/An\\_executives\\_guide\\_to\\_the\\_Internet\\_of\\_Things?cid=digital-eml-alt-mip-mck-oth-1508](http://www.mckinsey.com/Insights/Business_Technology/An_executives_guide_to_the_Internet_of_Things?cid=digital-eml-alt-mip-mck-oth-1508)).
- Bughin, J., Chui M., & Manyika, J. (2015). An executive’s guide to the Internet of Things. Retrieved from ([http://www.mckinsey.com/Insights/Business\\_Technology/An\\_executives\\_guide\\_to\\_the\\_Internet\\_of\\_Things?cid=digital-eml-alt-mip-mck-oth-1508](http://www.mckinsey.com/Insights/Business_Technology/An_executives_guide_to_the_Internet_of_Things?cid=digital-eml-alt-mip-mck-oth-1508)).
- Bullinger, A. C. 2012. “IT-based Interactive Innovation.” Habilitation thesis, Friedrich-Alexander University Erlangen-Nuremberg.
- Burcharth, A. L. d. A., and A. Fosfuri. 2015. “Not Invented Here: How Institutionalized Socialization Practices Affect the Formation of Negative Attitudes toward External Knowledge.” *Industrial and Corporate Change* 24 (2): 281–305.



- Bushell-Embling, D. (2015). China telecom teams with Gemalto on IoT tech. Retrieved from (<http://www.telecomasia.net/content/china-telecom-teams-gemaltoiot-tech>)).
- Frigidian, and A. Harris. —Knowledge Management To Support Product Development In Cold Roll-Forming Environment, Int J Adv Manuf Technol, Vol.57 (5), pp 585-596 pp.585–596, 2011.
- Camhi, J. (2015). Here's what happened in internet of things this week. Retrieved from (<http://www.businessinsider.com/heres-what-happened-in-internet-ofthings-this-week-2015-7-19>)).
- Can, O., & Sahingoz, O. K. (2015, May). A survey of intrusion detection systems in wireless sensor networks. In Modeling, Simulation, and Applied Optimization (ICMSAO), 2015 6th International Conference on (pp. 1-6). IEEE.
- Carayannis, E. G., Grigoroudis, E., Del Giudice, M., Della Peruta, M. R. and Sindakis, S., 2017, "An exploration of contemporary organizational artifacts and routines in a sustainable excellence context". Journal of Knowledge Management, 21(1), 35-56.
- Carayannis, E.G. and Campbell, D. (2009), "Mode 3' and 'quadruple helix': toward a 21st century fractal innovation ecosystem", International Journal of Technology Management, Vol. 46 Nos 3/4, pp. 201-234.
- Chandrakanth, S., Venkatesh, K., Uma Mahesh, J., and Naganjaneyulu, K. V., 2014, "Internet of things". International Journal of Innovations & Advancement in Computer Science, 3(8), 16-20.
- Chaurasia, V., and S. Pal. 2018. "Prediction of Benign and Malignant Breast Cancer Using Data Mining Techniques[J]." Social Science Electronic Publishing, 12(2): 19–126.
- Chebbi, H., YChebbi, H., Yahiaoui, D., Thrassou, A., Vrontis, D., 2013. The exploration activity's added value into the innovation process. Glob. Bus. Econ. Rev. 15 (2/3):265–278.
- Chebbi, H., YChebbi, H., Yahiaoui, D., Thrassou, A., Vrontis, D., 2013. The exploration activity's added value into the innovation process. Glob. Bus. Econ. Rev. 15 (2/3):265–278. [http:// dx.doi.org/10.1504/GBER.2013.053073](http://dx.doi.org/10.1504/GBER.2013.053073) (ISSN: 1097-4954, Inderscience).
- Chebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., Yahiaoui, D., Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A., 2015. Building multiunit ambidextrous organizations: a transformative framework. Hum. Resour. M
- Chebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., YChebbi, H., Yahiaoui, D., Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A., 2015. Building multiunit ambidextrous organizations: a transformative framework. Hum. Resour. Manag.



- Chen, D. (2016). SINA becomes first internet company to receive license to operate bulletin board systems in China. PHX (Retrieved from) (<http://phx.corporate-ir.net/phoenix.zhtml?c=121288 & p=irol-newsArticle & ID=194728>).
- Chesbrough, H., and M. Bogers. 2014. "Explicating Open Innovation: Clarifying an Emerging Paradigm for Understanding Innovation." In *New Frontiers in Open Innovation*, edited by H. Chesbrough, W. Vanhaverbeke, and J. West, 3–28. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Chesbrough, H., Vanhaverbeke, W., & West, J. (2014). *New frontiers in open innovation*. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Christopher, M. (2016). *Logistics & supply chain management*: Pearson UK.
- Christopher, M., Crum, M., & Holweg, M. (2011). "Supply Chain 2.0": managing supply chains in the era of turbulence. *International Journal of Physical Distribution & Logistics Management*, 41(1), 63-82.
- Cisco, 2018, "Cisco Visual Networking Index: Forecast and Trends, 2017–2022"; <https://www.cisco.com/c/en/us/solutions/collateral/service-provider/visual-networking-indexvni/white-paper-c11-741490.html>; Nov 26th, 2018, posted and Jan, 08th, 2019 accessed.
- CIW. (2015). China to Become Largest E-commerce Market in 2015. Retrieved from (<http://www.chinainternetwatch.com/15235/retail-e-commerce-2015/>).
- Colombo, M. G., C. Franzoni, and C. Rossi-Lamastra. 2015. "Cash from the Crowd." *Science* 348 (6240): 1201–1202.
- Constantinides, E., Kahlert, M., & de Vries, S. A. (2017). The relevance of technological autonomy in the acceptance of IoT services in retail. Paper presented at the 2nd International Conference on Internet of Things, Data and Cloud Computing, ICC 2017.
- Csaszar, F.A. An Efficient Frontier in Organization Design: Organizational Structure as a Determinant of Exploration and Exploitation. *Organ. Sci.* 2013, 24, 1083–1101.
- Da Xu, L., He, W., and Li, S., 2014, "Internet of things in industries: A survey". *IEEE Transactions on industrial informatics*, 10(4), 2233-2243.
- Dahlander, L., S. O'Mahony, and D. M. Gann. 2016. "One Foot in, One Foot out: How Does Individuals' External Search Breadth Affect Innovation Outcomes?" *Strategic Management Journal* 37 (2): 280–302.
- Dalkir, K. (2011). *Knowledge management in theory and practice*. Second edition. Boston: Massachusetts Institute of Technology.
- Damodaran, A. 2018. "Royalty Payments on Intellectual Property: A Preliminary Analysis of the Principal Policy Issues Facing India[J]." *IIM Bangalore Research Paper*, 562.



- Davila, g.a., durst, s., varvakis, g. Knowledge absorptive capacity, innovation, and firm's performance: insights from the south of brazil. **International journal of innovation management**, v.22, n.2, 2018.
- Davila, g.a., north, k., varvakis, g. How brazilian textile enterprises learn to grow em: competitive strategies for small and medium enterprises. Springer international publishing, p. 241-254, 2016.
- De Feijter, T. (2016). Alibaba and SAIC launch 'Internet car' in China. Forbes (Retrieved from) (<http://www.forbes.com/sites/tychodefeijter/2016/07/08/alibabaand-saic-launch-internet-car-in-china/#216ce53849a4>).
- Del Giudice, M., Della Peruta, M.R., 2016. The impact of IT-based knowledge management systems on internal venturing and innovation: a structural equation modeling approach to corporate performance. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 20 (3).
- Del Giudice, M., Della Peruta, M.R., 2016. The impact of IT-based knowledge management systems on internal venturing and innovation: a structural equation modeling approach to corporate performance. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 20 (3).
- Del Giudice, M., Della Peruta, M.R., 2016. The impact of IT-based knowledge management systems on internal venturing and innovation: a structural equation modeling approach to corporate performance. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 20 (3).
- Del Giudice, M., Della Peruta, M.R., 2016. The impact of IT-based knowledge management systems on internal venturing and innovation: a structural equation modeling approach to corporate performance. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 20 (3).
- Del Giudice, M., Maggioni, V., 2014. Managerial practices and operative directions of knowledge management within inter-firm networks: a global view. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 18 (5), 841–846.
- Del Giudice, M., Maggioni, V., 2014. Managerial practices and operative directions of knowledge management within inter-firm networks: a global view. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 18 (5), 841–846.
- Del Giudice, M., Straub, D., 2011. IT and entrepreneurship: an on-again, off-again love affair or a marriage? *MIS Q.* 35 (4), 3–11.
- Deloitte, 2014, 'The Internet of Things ecosystem Unlocking the business value of connected devices'; <https://www2.deloitte.com/us/en/pages/technology-media-andtelecommunications/articles/internet-of-things-iot-enterprise-value-report.html>; Jan, 08th, 2018 accessed.
- Desouza, K. (2011), *Intrapreneurship, Managing Ideas Within Your Organization*, 1st ed., University of Toronto Press, London.



- dimsums.blogspot.ca. (2014). "Internet of Things" for China's agriculture. Retrieved from (<http://dimsums.blogspot.ca/2014/01/internet-of-things-for-chinas.html>).
- Dinham, P. (2015). China way out front in deployment of Internet of Things. Retrieved from (<http://www.itwire.com/your-it-news/internet-of-things/68627-chinaway-out-front-in-deployment-of-internet-of-things>).
- Donate, M. J., & De Pablo, J. D. S. (2015). The role of knowledgeoriented leadership in knowledge management practices and innovation. *Journal of Business Research*, 68, 360-370.
- Dubey, R., Gunasekaran, A., Childe, S. J., Papadopoulos, T., & Wamba, S. F. (2017). World class sustainable supply chain management: critical review and further research directions. *The International Journal of Logistics Management*, 28(2), 332-362.
- Durst, S. and Edvardsson, I. (2012), "Knowledge management in SMEs: a literature review", *Journal of Knowledge Management*, Vol. 16 No. 6, pp. 879-903
- Dushnitsky, G., M. Guerini, E. Piva, and C. Rossi-Lamastra. 2016. "Crowdfunding in Europe: Determinants of Platform Creation across Countries." *California Management Review* 58 (2): 44-71.
- Dyer, J., N. Furr, and C. Lefrandt. 2014. "The Industries Plagued by the Most Uncertainty." *Harvard Business Review*.
- Eftekhari, N., and M. Bogers. 2015. "Open for Entrepreneurship: How Open Innovation Can Foster New Venture Creation." *Creativity and Innovation Management* 24 (4): 574-584.
- Einhorn, B. (2014). How China's government set up Alibaba's success. *Bloomberg* Retrieved from(<http://www.bloomberg.com/news/articles/2014-05-07/howchinas-government-set-up-alibabas-Success>).
- Eriksson, P.E.; Leiringer, R. Explorative and exploitative learning in project-based organizations: improving knowledge governance through a project management office? *Eng. Proj. Organ. J.* 2015, 5, 160-179.
- EVANS, D. (2011). The internet of things. How the Next Evolution of the Internet is Changing Everything, Whitepaper, Cisco Internet Business Solutions Group (IBSG).
- Evis, C., & Alba, D. (2013). The leadership role of teacher and redimensioning of knowledge. *Procedia - Social and Behavioral Sciences*, 75, 62-70.
- Feldman, M.S., and B.T. Pentland. 2003. Reconceptualizing organizational routines as a source of flexibility and change. *Administrative Science Quarterly* 48: 94-118.
- Ferraris, A., Erhardt, N., Bresciani, S., 2017. Ambidextrous work in smart city project alliances: unpacking the role of human resource management systems. *Int. J. Hum. Resour. Manag.* 1-22.



- Ferraris, A., Erhardt, N., Bresciani, S., 2017. Ambidextrous work in smart city project alliances: unpacking the role of human resource management systems. *Int. J. Hum. Resour. Manag.* 1–22.
- Ferreira, J., Fernandes, C. and Ratten, V. (2016), “A co-citation bibliometric analysis of strategic management research”, *Scientometrics*, Vol. 109 No. 1, pp. 1-32
- Ferreira, J., Fernandes, C., Alves, H. and Raposo, M. (2015), “Drivers of innovation strategies: testing the tidd and bessant (2009) model”, *Journal of Business Research*, Vol. 68 No. 7, pp. 1395-1403.
- Ferreras-Méndez, J.L., Fernández-Mesa, A., Alegre, J., 2016. The Relationship Between Knowledge Search Strategies and Absorptive Capacity: a Deeper Look Technovation.
- Flynn, B. B., Huo, B., & Zhao, X. (2010). The impact of supply chain integration on performance: A contingency and configuration approach. *Journal of Operations Management*, 28(1), 58-71.
- Friedman, D. (2014). Why China will leapfrog the world in internet of things. Retrieved from (<http://venturebeat.com/2014/10/04/why-china-will-leapfrog-theworld-in-internet-of-things/>).
- Gartner, (2016). Internet of Things. Retrieved from (<http://www.gartner.com/it-glossary/internet-of-things/>).
- Gartner, (2016). Internet of Things. Retrieved from (<http://www.gartner.com/it-glossary/internet-of-things/>).
- Gaviria-Marin, M., Merigo, J. M. and Popa, S., 2018, “Twenty years of the Journal of Knowledge Management: a bibliometric analysis”. *Journal of Knowledge Management*.
- Gawer, A., and M. A. Cusumano. 2014. “Industry Platforms and Ecosystem Innovation.” *Journal of Product Innovation Management* 31 (3): 417–433.
- GBTIMES (2015). China firm tops Internet of Things ranking. Retrieved from (<http://gbtimes.com/china/chinese-firm-tops-internet-things-ranking/>).
- Gereffi, g. **Global value chains, productive development policies and job creation** lima: ilo americas technical reports, 2017.
- Gibson, R. (2015). IoT could boost China’s economic growth by US\$1.8 trillion by 2030. Retrieved from (<http://www.onwindows.com/Article/iot-could-boostchinas-economic-growth-by-us18-trillion-by-2030-47902#.VqwyWeZOzm4>).
- GICHUNGE, E.M. (2010). The Effect of Formal Strategic Management on Organisational Performance: A study of selected Medium Sized Manufacturing Enterprises in Nairobi, Kenya.
- Gillis, W.E., Combs, J.G., & Yin, X. (2018). Franchise management capabilities and franchisor performance under alternative franchise ownership strategies. *Journal of Business Venturing*.



- Griffiths, J. (2015). Tencent unveils smartphone and 'internet of things' OS in challenge to Xiaomi. Alibaba Retrieved from(<http://www.scmp.com/tech/enterprises/article/1779923/tencent-unveils-smartphone-and-internet-things-os-challenge-xiaomi>).
- Gruber, M., I. C. MacMillan, and J. D. Thompson. 2013. "Escaping the Prior Knowledge Corridor: What Shapes the Number and Variety of Market Opportunities Identified before Market Entry of Technology Start-ups?" *Organization Science* 24 (1): 280–300.
- Gubbi, J., Buyya, R., Marusic, S., and Palaniswami, M., 2013, "Internet of Things (IoT): A vision, architectural elements, and future directions". *Future Generation Computer Systems*, 29(7), 1645-1660.
- Gunday, G., Ulusoy, G., Kilic, K., & Alpkan, L. (2011). Effects of innovation types on firm performance. *Int. J. Journal of Production Economics*, 133, 662-676.
- Haddud, A., DeSouza, A., Khare, A., and Lee, H., 2017, "Examining potential benefits and challenges associated with the Internet of Things integration in supply chains". *Journal of Manufacturing Technology Management*, 28(8), 1055-1085.
- Hagel, J., Brown, S.J., Kulasooriya, D., Giffi, C., & Chen, M. (2015). The future of manufacturing: Making things in a changing world. Retrieved from (<http://dupress.com/articles/future-of-manufacturing-industry/>).
- Hair, J.F., Ringle, C.M., Sarstedt, M., 2011. PLS-SEM: Indeed, a silver bullet. *Journal of Marketing theory and Practice* 19, 139—15.
- Halawi, L.A., McCarthy, R.V., & Aronson, J.E. (2006). Knowledge management and competitive strategy of the firm. *Journal of the Learning Organization*, 13 (4), 386-388.
- Henseler, J., & Sarstedt, M. (2013). Goodness-of-fit indices for partial least squares path modeling. *Computational Statistics*, 28(2), 565-580.
- Hernaus, T., Mikulić, J., 2014. Work characteristics and work performance of knowledge workers. *EuroMed J. Bus.* 9 (3):268–292. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/EMJB-11-2013-0054>.
- Hernaus, T., Mikulić, J., 2014. Work characteristics and work performance of knowledge workers. *EuroMed J. Bus.* 9 (3):268–292. <http://dx.doi.org/10.1108/EMJB-11-2013-0054>
- Higginbotham, S. (2014). In China, the internet of things is a social phenomenon. Retrieved from (<https://gigaom.com/2014/09/30/in-china-the-internet-ofthings-is-a-social-phenomenon/>).
- Hitt, M., & Duane Ireland, R. (2017). The intersection of entrepreneurship and strategic management research. *The Blackwell Handbook of Entrepreneurship*, 45-63.
- Hong, K. (2014). China's top e-commerce firms, Alibaba and JD, jump onto the internet of things bandwagon, 26 June. Retrieved from (<http://thenextweb.com/asia/2014/06/26/jd-com-beats-alibaba-to-the-internet-of-things-with-new-smart-home-cloud-platform/>).





- Hongying, W., & Qian, S. (2011). Fuzzy comprehensive evaluation of hospital knowledge management based on neural network. 6581-4244-1-978.
- Hosnavi, R., Akhavan, P., & Sanjeghi, M-E. (2012). Knowledge Management Critical Success Factors. Tehran, Third edition, Ati-negar Publishers. (In Persian).
- Hosseini, M., & Sadeghi, T. (2010). Effective factors on faculty members' creativity and innovation and presenting strategy for promotion. Journal of Education Strategies in Medical Sciences, 3 (1), 1-6. (In Persian).
- Huo, B. (2012). The impact of supply chain integration on company performance: an organizational capability perspective. Supply Chain Management: An International Journal, 17(6), 596-610.
- IDC, 2019, "Worldwide Semiannual Internet of Things Spending Guide"; [https://www.idc.com/getdoc.jsp?containerId=IDC\\_P29475](https://www.idc.com/getdoc.jsp?containerId=IDC_P29475); Jan, 03rd, 2019 posted and Jan, 08th, 2019 accessed.
- Inkinen, h., kianto, a., vanhala, m. Knowledge management practices and innovation performance in finland. **Baltic journal of management**, v.10, n.4, p.432-455, 2015.
- Inkwood Research, 2017; "Global IoT in Energy & Utility Application Market Forecast 2018-2026"; <https://www.inkwoodresearch.com/reports/iot-in-energy-utility-application-market/>; Jan, 08th, 2019 accessed.
- Intezari, A. and Gressel, S., 2017, "Information and reformation in KM systems: big data and strategic decision-making". Journal of Knowledge Management, 21(1), 71-91.
- Jasimuddin, S. M., & Naqshbandi, M. M. (2017). Knowledge-oriented leadership and open innovation: Role of knowledge management capability in France-based multinationals. International Business Review, 27(3), 701-713.
- Jaziri, D. (2018). The advent of customer experiential knowledge management approach (CEKM): The integration of offline & online experiential knowledge. Journal of Business Research. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jbusres.2018.05.029> ISSN: 0148-2963.
- Jennex, M. E., 2017, "Big Data, the Internet of Things, and the Revised Knowledge Pyramid". Database for Advances in Information Systems, 48(4), 69-79.
- Jian, Z. (2014). Tracing dairy products with the Internet of Things. Retrieved from (<https://itu4u.wordpress.com/2014/12/17/tracing-dairy-products-with-theinternet-of-things/>).
- Jiang, L., & Probst, T. M. (2016). Transformational and passive leadership as cross-level moderators of the relationships between safety knowledge, safety motivation, and safety participation. Journal of Safety Research, 57, 27-32.



- Kahlert, M., Constantinides, E., & de Vries, S. (2017). The relevance of technological autonomy in the customer acceptance of IoT services in retail. Paper presented at the Proceedings of the Second International Conference on Internet of things and Cloud Computing, Cambridge, United Kingdom.
- Kale, P., Singh, H., 2007. Building firm capabilities through learning: the role of the alliance learning process in alliance capability and firm-level alliance success. *Strateg. Manag. J.* 28 (10), 981–1000.
- Kamilaris, A. and Pitsillides, A. (2016) Mobile phone computing and the internet of things: A survey. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 3(6), 885–898.
- Kausar, F., Al Eisa, E., & Bakhsh, I. (2012). Intelligent Home Monitoring Using RSSI in Wireless Sensor Networks. *International Journal of Computer Networks & Communications*, 4(6), 33.
- Kebede, G. (2010). Knowledge management: An information science perspective. *International Journal of Information Management*, 30(5), 416– 424.
- Kezar, A. 2017. “Faculty Voice in Intellectual Property Policies: Collective Action for the Public Good[J].” *New Directions for Higher Education* 2017 (177): 93–99. doi:10.1002/he.2017.2017. issue-177.
- Khan, Z., and Vorley, T., 2017; “Big data text analytics: an enabler of knowledge management”. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 21(1), 18-34.
- Kianto, a.; andreeva, t. Knowledge management practices and results in service-oriented versus product-oriented companies. **Knowledge and process management**, v. 21, n. 4, p. 221-230, 2014.
- Kianto, a.; hussinki, h.; vanhala, m. The impact of knowledge management on the market performance of companies. In: **knowledge management in the sharing economy** springer, cham, 2018. P. 189-207.
- Kim, S., Kim, S., 2016. A multi-criteria approach toward discovering killer IoT application in Korea. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Chang.* 102, 143–155.
- Kim, S., Kim, S., 2016. A multi-criteria approach toward discovering killer IoT application in Korea. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Chang.* 102, 143–155.
- Kim, T. H., Lee, J. N., Chun, J. U., & Benbasat, I. (2014). Understanding the effect of knowledge management strategies on knowledge management performance: A contingency perspective, *Information and Management*, 51, 398-416.
- Kogut, B., and U. Zander. 1992. Knowledge of the firm, combinative capabilities, and the replication of technology. *Organization Science* 3: 383–397.



- Kogut, B., and U. Zander. 1996. What firms do: Coordination, identity, and learning. *Organization Science* 7: 502–518.
- Kruger, C. J, & Johnson, R. (2010). Information management as an enabler of knowledgemanagement maturity: A South African perspective. *International Journal of Information Management*, 30(1), 57–67.
- Kshetri, N. (2016). The economics of the internet of things in the global south. *Third World Quarterly* (Retrieved from) <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/01436597.2016.1191942>.
- Kshetri, N. (2016). The economics of the internet of things in the global south. *Third World Quarterly* (Retrieved from) <http://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/01436597.2016.1191942>.
- Lakhani, K. R., H. Lifshitz-Assaf, and M. L. Tushman. 2013. “Open Innovation and Organizational Boundaries: Task Decomposition, Knowledge Distribution and the Locus of Innovation.” *Handbook of Economic Organization: Integrating Economic and Organization Theory*, edited by A. Grandori, 355–382. Cheltenham: Edward Elgar.
- Lan, X., & Hao, M. (2015). China and intellectual property rights since joining the WTO. Luolin, W. (ed). *China's WTO Accession Reassessed (Routledge Studies on the Chinese Economy)*, 141–167.
- Lan, X., & Hao, M. (2015). China and intellectual property rights since joining the WTO. Luolin, W. (ed). *China's WTO Accession Reassessed (Routledge Studies on the Chinese Economy)*, 141–167.
- Lee, I. and Lee, K., 2015, “The Internet of Things (IoT): Applications, investments, and challenges for enterprises”. *Business Horizons*, 58(4), 431-440.
- Lee, I., & Lee, K. (2015). The Internet of Things (IoT): Applications, investments, and challenges for enterprises. *Business Horizons*, 58(4), 431-440.
- Lee, I., 2017, “Big data: Dimensions, evolution, impacts, and challenges”. *Business Horizons*, 60(3), 293-303.
- Levin, S.L. and Schmidt, S. (2014) IPv4 to IPv6: Challenges, solutions, and lessons. *Telecommunications Policy*, 38(11), 1059–1068.
- Li, H., Chai, K. H., & Nebus, J. F. (2013). Balancing codification and personalization for knowledge reuse: A Markov decision process approach. *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 17, 755–772.
- Li, S., Da Xu, L., & Zhao, S. (2015). The internet of things: a survey. *Information Systems Frontiers*, 17(2), 243-259.



- Liao, S. H., & Wu, C. C. (2009, March 17). The Relationship among Knowledge Management, Organizational Learning, and Organizational Performance. *International Journal of Business and Management*, 4(4). <https://doi.org/10.5539/ijbm.v4n4p64>
- Lifshitz-Assaf, H. 2015. "From Problem Solvers to Solution Seekers: The Permeation of Knowledge Boundaries at NASA."
- Lin, C., Wu, J. C. and Yen, D. C., 2012, "Exploring barriers to knowledge flow at different knowledge management maturity stages". *Information & Management*, 49(1), 10-23.
- Linders, D. 2012. "From e-government to We-government: Defining a Typology for Citizen Coproduction in the Age of Social Media." *Government Information Quarterly* 29 (4): 446–454.
- Linders, D. 2012. "From e-government to We-government: Defining a Typology for Citizen Coproduction in the Age of Social Media." *Government Information Quarterly* 29 (4): 446–454.
- Links, C, "The Impact of the IoT Demystified"; <https://www.qorvo.com/resources/d/theimpact-of-the-iot-demystified>; Jul 2017 posted and Jan, 08th, 2019 accessed.
- Links, C, "The Impact of the IoT Demystified"; <https://www.qorvo.com/resources/d/theimpact-of-the-iot-demystified>; Jul, 2017 posted and Jan,
- Liu, W., & Gao, Z. (2014). Study on IOT based architecture of logistics service supply chain. *International Journal of Grid and Distributed Computing*, 7(1), 169-178.
- Liu, X., & Sun, Y. (2011). Information Flow Management of Vendor-Managed Inventory System in Automobile Parts Inbound Logistics Based on Internet of Things. *Journal of Software*, 6(7), 1374-1380.
- Lohr, S. (2015, August 03) The Internet of Things and the Future of Farming. Retrieved from (<http://bits.blogs.nytimes.com/2015/08/03/the-internet-of-thingsand-the-future-of-farming/>).
- Lopez-Nicolas, C., Soto-Acosta, P., 2010. Analyzing ICT adoption and use effects on knowledge creation: an empirical investigation in SMEs. *Int. J. Inf. Manag.* 30 (6), 521–528.
- Louchez, A. & Thomas, V. (2014). E-waste and the Internet of Things. The problem is a part of the solution. Retrieved from (<https://itunews.itu.int/En/4850-Ewaste-and-the-Internet-of-Things.note.aspx>).
- Madakam, S., Ramaswamy, R., & Tripathi, S. (2015). Internet of Things (IoT): A Literature Review. *Journal of Computer and Communications*, 3(05), 164.
- Malhotra, Y., 2015. Knowledge management for e-business performance: advancing information strategy to "internet time". *Inf. Strateg.* 16 (4), 5–16.



- Mantoro, T., & Ayu, M. A. (2014, April). Securing the authentication and message integrity for Smart Home using smart phone. In *Multimedia Computing and Systems (ICMCS), 2014 International Conference on* (pp. 985-989). IEEE.
- *Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, 136(2), 021008-021008–11.
- McKie, D., & Heath, R.L. (2016). Public relations as a strategic intelligence for the 21st century: Contexts, controversies, and challenges. *Public Relations Review*, 42(2), 298-305.
- Mergel, I. 2015. "Opening Government: Designing Open Innovation Processes to Collaborate with External Problem Solvers." *Social Science Computer Review* 33 (5): 599–612.
- Merono-Cerdan, A.L., Soto-Acosta, P., López-Nicolás, C., 2007. Analyzing collaborative technologies' effect on performance through intranet use orientations. *J. Enterp. Inf. Manag.* 21 (1), 39–51.
- Mir Fakhredini, S. H., Hataminasab, S. H., Taleiefar, R., & Konjkav Monfared, A. (2010). Knowledge management, knowledge innovation and innovation performance in small and medium organizations. *Journal of Outlook Business Administration*, 9 (2), 103-118. (In Persian).
- Mishra, D., Gunasekaran, A., Childe, S. J., Papadopoulos, T., Dubey, R., & Wamba, S. F. (2016). Vision, applications and future challenges of Internet of Things. *Industrial Management & Data Systems*, 116(7), 1331-1355.
- Moosavi Jad, S. M., Geravandi, S., Mohammadi, M. J., Alizadeh, R., Sarvarian, M., & et al. (2017). The relationship between the knowledge of leadership and knowledge management practices in the food industry in Kurdistan province, Iran. *Data in Brief*, 15, 155-159.
- N. K. Suryadevara and S. C. Mukhopadhyay, *Smart Homes: Design, Implementation and Issues*, vol. 14. Springer, 2015.
- Näslund, D., & Hulthen, H. (2012). Supply chain management integration: a critical analysis. *Benchmarking: An International Journal*, 19(4/5), 481-501.
- Nauffal, D.I., & Nasser, R.N. (2012). Strategic planning at two levels. *Planning for Higher Education*, 40(4), 32.
- Ng, C. K., C. H. Wu, K. L. Yung, W. H. Ip, T. Cheung. 2018. "A Semantic Similarity Analysis of Internet of Things[J]." *Enterprise Information Systems* 12 (7): 820–855.
- Ng, I., Scharf, K., Pogrebna, G., & Maull, R. (2015). Contextual variety, Internet-of-Things and the choice of tailoring over platform: Mass customisation strategy in supply chain management. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 159(1), 76-87.
- Nicolas, C. L., & Cerdan, A. L. M. (2011). Strategic knowledge management, innovation and performance. *International Journal of Information Management*, 31, 502–509.



- Noe, R.A., Hollenbeck, J.R., Gerhart, B., & Wright, P.M. (2017). Human resource management: Gaining a competitive advantage. New York, NY: McGraw-Hill Education.
- Nogueira, r., rosales, f., batalha, m., alcantara, r. Analyzing effects of external integration on innovations outcomes in large and non-large brazilian food companies. **British food journal**, v.116, n.6, p.984-999, 2014.
- Nonaka, I. 1994. A dynamic theory of organizational knowledge creation. *Organization Science* 5: 14–37.
- Nonaka, I., & Takeuchi, H. (2011). The wise leader. *Journal of Harvard Business Review*, 89 (5), 58-67.
- O. F. Beyca, "Sensor-Based Real-Time Process Monitoring for UltraPrecision Manufacturing Processes with Nonlinearity and Nonstationarity," PhD Dissertation, Industrial Engineering and Management, Oklahoma State University, 2013.
- Ouaddah, A., Abou Elkalam, A. and Ait Ouahman, A., 2016, "FairAccess: a new Blockchain-based access control framework for the Internet of Things". *Security and Communication Networks*, 9(18), 5943-5964.
- P. K. Rao, "Sensor-Based Monitoring and Inspection of Surface Morphology in Ultraprecision Manufacturing Processes," PhD Dissertation, Industrial Engineering and Management, Oklahoma State University, 2013.
- P. Rao, S. Bukkapatnam, O. Beyca, Z. J. Kong, and R. Komanduri, "Real-Time Identification of Incipient Surface Morphology Variations in Ultra-Precision Machining Process," *Transactions of the ASME, Journal of Manufacturing Science and Engineering*, vol. 136, p. 021008, 2014.
- Paton, R. A., & Mclaughlin, S. (2008). Services innovation: Knowledge transfer and the supply chain. *Journal of European Management*, 26 (2), 77-83.
- Pentland, B.T. 1995. Grammatical models of organizational processes. *Organization Science* 6: 541–556.
- Perera, C., Member, C. H. L., Jayawardena, S., & Chen, M. (2015). Context-aware Computing in the Internet of Things: A Survey on Internet of Things From Industrial Market Perspective. *IEEE Access*, 2(1ng), 1660-1679.
- Piller, F. T., and J. West. 2014. "Firms, Users, and Innovation: An Interactive Model of Coupled Open Innovation." In *New Frontiers in Open Innovation*, edited by H. Chesbrough, W. Vanhaverbeke, and J. West, 29–49. Oxford: Oxford University Press.
- Ping, L., Liu, Q., Zhou, Z., & Wang, H. (2011). Agile supply chain management over the internet of things. Paper presented at the Management and Service Science (MASS), 2011 International Conference on 2011 Aug 12.



- Pishdar, M., Ghasemzadeh, F., Antucheviciene, J., & Saporauskas, J., 2018. "Internet of things and its challenges in supply chain management; a rough strength-relation analysis method". Economics and Management.
- Porter, M. E., & Heppelmann, J. E. (2015). How smart, connected products are transforming companies. Harvard Business Review, 93(10), 96-114.
- Qrunfleh, S., & Tarafdar, M. (2014). Supply chain information systems strategy: Impacts on supply chain performance and firm performance. International Journal of Production Economics, 147(1), 340-350.
- RADUAN, C.R., JEGAK, U., HASLINDA, A. AND ALIMIN, I.I. (2009). Management, Strategic Management Theories and the Linkage with Organisational Competitive Advantage from the Resource-Based View. European Journal of Social Sciences, 11 (3), 402- 418. [5]
- Ramadan, M., & Borgonovi, E. (2016). Strategic management practices as a key determinant of superior Nongovernmental Organizations Performance. Problems of Management in the 21st Century, 11(2), 71-92.
- Rao, P., Bukkapatnam, S., Beyca, O., Kong, Z. and Komanduri, R. (2014) Real-time identification of incipient surface morphology variations in ultraprecision machining process. Journal of
- Reaidy, P. J., Gunasekaran, A., & Spalanzani, A. (2015). Bottom-up approach based on Internet of Things for order fulfillment in a collaborative warehousing environment. International Journal of Production Economics, 159(1), 29-40.
- Reaidy, P. J., Gunasekaran, A., & Spalanzani, A. (2015). Bottom-up approach based on Internet of Things for order fulfillment in a collaborative warehousing environment. International Journal of Production Economics, 159(1), 29-40.
- Riggins, F. J., and Wamba, S. F., 2015, "Research directions on the adoption, usage, and impact of the internet of things through the use of big data analytics." In System Sciences (HICSS), 2015 48th Hawaii International Conference on (pp. 1531-1540). IEEE.
- Robles, R. J., Kim, T. H., Cook, D., & Das, S. (2010). A review on security in smart home development. International Journal of Advanced Science and Technology, 15.
- Rodrigues, s. B., gonzalez duarte, r., de padua carrieri, a. Indigenous or imported knowledge in brazilian management studies: a quest for legitimacy? **Management and organization review**, v. 8, n. 1, p. 211-232, 2012.
- Rothberg, H. N., and Erickson, G. S., 2017, "Big data systems: knowledge transfer or intelligence insights?". Journal of Knowledge Management, 21(1), 92-112.
- Saggar, M., O. Sporns, J. Gonzalez-Castillo, P. A. Bandettini, G. Carlsson, G. Glover, A. L. Reiss et al. 2018. "Towards a New Approach to Reveal Dynamical Organization of the Brain



Using Topological Data analysis[J].” *Nature Communications* 9 (1): 1399. doi:10.1038/s41467-018-03664-4.

- Salge, T. O., T. Farchi, M. I. Barrett, and S. Dopson. 2013. “When Does Search Openness Really Matter? A Contingency Study of Health-care Innovation Projects.” *Journal of Product Innovation Management* 30 (4): 659–676.
- Salter, A., Criscuolo, P., Ter Wal, A.L., 2014. Coping with open innovation. *Calif. Manag. Rev.* 56 (2), 77–94
- Salter, A., P. Criscuolo, and A. L. J. Ter Wal. 2014. “Coping with Open Innovation: Responding to the Challenges of External Engagement in R&D.” *California Management Review* 56 (2): 77–94.
- Santoro, G., Ferraris, A., Giacosa, E., Giovando, G., 2016. How SMEs engage in open innovation: a survey. *J. Knowl. Econ.*
- Santoro, G., Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A. and Dezi, L., 2018, “The internet of things: building a knowledge management system for open innovation and knowledge management capacity”. *Technological Forecasting and Social Change*, 136, 347-354.
- Schiefer, M. (2015, May). Smart Home Definition and Security Threats. In *IT Security Incident Management & IT Forensics (IMF)*, 2015 Ninth International Conference on (pp. 114-118). IEEE.
- Schwartz, H. E. (2015). Can Apple’s IoT efforts keep up with its Chinese rival, Xiaomi? Retrieved from (<http://dcinno.streetwise.co/2015/05/27/apple-aapl-vs-chinese-xiaomi-for-the-internet-of-things/>).
- Soto-Acosta, P., Cegarra-Navarro, J.G., 2016. New ICTs for knowledge management in organizations. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 20 (3).
- Soto-Acosta, P., Cegarra-Navarro, J.G., 2016. New ICTs for knowledge management in organizations. *J. Knowl. Manag.* 20 (3).
- Soto-Acosta, P., Colomo-Palacios, R., Popa, S., 2014. Web knowledge sharing and its effect on innovation: an empirical investigation in SMEs. *Knowl. Manag. Res. Pract.* 12 (1), 103–113.
- Soto-Acosta, P., MeroñO-Cerdan, A.L., 2008. Analyzing e-business value creation from a resource-based perspective. *Int. J. Inf. Manag.* 28 (1), 49–60.
- Souders, Andy (2015). From Desert Storm to the retail store: Five technologies that are closing global supply chain gaps, Retrieved from <http://www.supplychainquarterly.com/topics/technology/20151228-fivetechnologies-that-are-closing-global-supply-chain-gaps/> on 20 May 2018.





- Sparkman, t. The factors and conditions for national human resource development in brazil. *European journal of training and development*, v.39 n.8, p.666-680, 2015.
- Sterling, B. (2013). The internet of Brazilian things. Retrieved from (<http://www.wired.com/2013/06/the-internet-of-brazilianthings/>).
- Sumbal, M. S., Tsui, E. and See-to, E. W., 2017, "Interrelationship between big data and knowledge management: an exploratory study in the oil and gas sector". *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 21(1), 180-196.
- Sweet, C. M., and D. S. E. Maggio. 2015. "Do Stronger Intellectual Property Rights Increase innovation? [J]." *World Development* 66: 665–677. doi: 10.1016/j.worlddev.2014.08.025.
- T. Orehovalčki, A. Al Sokkar, J. Derboven, A, Khan, —Exploring the Hedonic Quality of Slow Technology. In: CHI 2013 workshop on Changing Perspectives of Time in HCI, 2013.
- Taghizadeh, H., Soltani. G., & Mahdiloy, R. (2010). Evaluation of knowledge management in a service organization (case study). *Journal of Beyond Management*, 12 (3), 33-48. (In Persian).
- Tan, Y.S., Ng, Y.T. and Low, J.S.C. (2017) Internet-of-things enabled real-time monitoring of energy efficiency on manufacturing shop floors. *Procedia CIRP*, 61, 376–381.
- Taylor, F.W. 1916. The principles of scientific management. *Bulletin of the Taylor Society*, December.
- Teixeira, A. A. C., and A. S. S. Queirós. 2016. "Economic Growth, Human Capital and Structural Change: A Dynamic Panel Data analysis[J]." *Research Policy* 45 (8): 1636–1648. doi:10.1016/j.respol.2016.04.006.
- Thrassou, A., Vrontis, D., Chebbi, H., Yahiaoui, D., 2012. A preliminary strategic marketing framework for new product development. *J. Trans. Manage.* 17 (1), 21–44 (ISSN: 1547-5778 – Routledge, Taylor and Francis).
- Tian, X., 2017), "Big data and knowledge management: a case of déjà vu or back to the future? *Journal of Knowledge Management*, 21(1), 113-131.
- Tien, J. M. (2015). Internet of connected ServGoods: Considerations, consequences and concerns. *Journal of Systems Science and Systems Engineering*, 24(2), 130e167.
- Tokognon, C.A., Gao, B., Tian, G.Y. and Yan, Y. (2017) Structural health monitoring framework based on internet of things: A survey. *IEEE Internet of Things Journal*, 4(3), 619–635.
- Torres, A.I.; Ferraz, S.S.; Santos-Rodrigues, H. The impact of knowledge management factors in organizational sustainable competitive advantage. *J. Intellect. Cap.* 2018, 19, 453–472.



- Tsilimigras, M. C. B., and A. A. Fodor. 2016. “Compositional Data Analysis of the Microbiome: Fundamentals, Tools, and challenges[J].” *Annals of Epidemiology* 26 (5): 330–335. doi:10.1016/j.annepidem.2016.03.002.
- Tu, M. (2018). An exploratory study of Internet of Things (IoT) adoption intention in logistics and supply chain management-a mixed research approach. *International Journal of Logistics Management, the(just accepted)*, 00-00.
- Tu, M. (2018). An exploratory study of Internet of Things (IoT) adoption intention in logistics and supply chain management-a mixed research approach. *International Journal of Logistics Management, The(just-accepted)*, 00-00.
- Ur Rehman, M. H., I. Yaqoob, K. Salah, M. Imran, P. P. Jayaraman, C. Perera. 2019. “The Role of Big Data Analytics in Industrial Internet of Things[J]”. *Future Generation Computer Systems* 99: 247–259. doi: 10.1016/j.future.2019.04.020.
- Vanhaverbeke, W., Cloudt, M., 2014. *Theories of the firm and open innovation. New Frontiers in Open Innovation. Oxford University Press, Oxford*
- Vanpoucke, E., Vereecke, A., & Muylle, S. (2017). Leveraging the impact of supply chain integration through information technology. *International Journal of Operations & Production Management*, 37(4), 510-530.
- Venkitachalam, K., & Willmott, H. (2015). Factors shaping organizational dynamics in strategic knowledge management. *Knowledge Management Research & Practice*, 13, 344–359.
- Verdouw, C. N., Wolfert, J., Beulens, A. J. M., & Rialland, A. (2016). Virtualization of food supply chains with the internet of things. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 176, 128-136.
- Verdouw, C. N., Wolfert, J., Beulens, A. J. M., & Rialland, A. (2016). Virtualization of food supply chains with the internet of things. *Journal of Food Engineering*, 176, 128-136.
- Viitala, R. (2004). Towards knowledge leadership. *Journal of Leadership & Organization Development*. 25 (6), 44-528.
- Von Krogh, G., Nonaka, I., & Rechsteiner, L. (2012). Leadership in organizational knowledge creation: A review and framework. *Journal of Management Studies*, 49 (1), 240-277.
- Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A., Santoro, G., Papa, A., 2016. Ambidexterity, external knowledge and performance in knowledge-intensive firms. *J. Technol. Transf.* 1–15.
- Vrontis, D., Thrassou, A., Santoro, G., Papa, A., 2016. Ambidexterity, external knowledge and performance in knowledge-intensive firms. *J. Technol. Transf.* 1–15.
- W. Mohammed, and A. Jalal, —The Influence of Knowledge Management System (KMS) on Enhancing Decision Making Process (DMP)¶, *International Journal of Business and Management*, Vol.6 (8), pp.216-229, 2011.



- Wallace, D. P., Van Fleet, C., & Downs, L. J. (2011). The research core of the knowledge management literature. *International Journal of Information Management*, 31, 14–20.
- Wan, J., Zou, C., Zhou, K., Lu, R. and Li, D., 2014, “IoT sensing framework with inter-cloud computing capability in vehicular networking”. *Electronic Commerce Research*, 14(3), 389-
- Wang, C.H., Chang, C.H., Shen, G.C., 2015. The effect of inbound open innovation on firm performance: evidence from high-tech industry. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Chang.* 99, 222–230.
- Wang, C.H., Chang, C.H., Shen, G.C., 2015. The effect of inbound open innovation on firm performance: evidence from high-tech industry. *Technol. Forecast. Soc. Chang.* 99, 222–230.
- Wasserman, M., & Mahmoodi, F. (2017). Disruptive technologies: Should you give them the green light? Retrieved from <http://www.supplychainquarterly.com/topics/Technology/20170302-disruptive-technologies-should-you-give-them-the-green-light/> on 20 May 2018.