



A strategical examination of relationships' importance in the application of a guanxi-based strategy for doing business in china

ZHAO KUN ¹, DR. ABHIJIT GHOSH ²

PhD Research Scholar in Management, Lincoln University College, Malaysia

Professor in Management, Lincoln University College, Malaysia

Contact details: abhijit@lincoln.edu.my

Abstract

Following the initial literature review and five to eight field interviews, one research topic and two major research questions were identified. The researcher claims that the first empirical fieldwork demonstrates a strong link between guanxi development and enterprise competence. Interviewees suggested that without a distinguishing factor, guanxi's potential for promoting business growth is limited. Guanxi, on the other hand, remains an important strategic resource for any organization looking to improve its market-based competency and maintain strong business performance in order to achieve long-term success. The two concepts—guanxi and core competency—are thus being investigated concurrently. Because of their interdependence, research into Guanxi business phenomena must consider market realities. As a result, the objectives of this study have been met, and two additional research questions have been identified.

Keywords: The Enterprise's Competence, And Market Reality, Empirical Fieldwork

INTRODUCTION:

In recent years, the literature has focused more on guanxi's impact on Chinese market performance. Several empirical studies have shown that guanxi, as a key business application, has distinct effects on achieving successful business practices. However, the existing literature lacks theoretical research to support guanxi's strategic positioning as a source of competitive advantage. Guanxi-based business competency is still in its early stages, both in terms of theoretical limitations and background. Given the current state of research in this field, the author felt compelled to conduct this empirical study in order to develop a theoretical framework for guanxi-based competency and its associated strategy forms.

As a methodological foundation, this the study proposes applying grounded theory to investigate the actual social process of guanxi-based company strategic implementations in the Chinese market. Following the grounded theory process, the research objectives were gradually completed in order to meet the defined general study direction, which focuses on examining the strategic positioning of guanxi-based competitive advantage.

LITERATURE REVIEW

Given how extensively the literature has investigated the importance of guanxi in the Chinese market (e.g., Child and Tse, 2001, Chow and Ng, 2004, Davies et al., 1995), the exploration of theoretical potentials on guanxi-based business strategic implementations is becoming



increasingly important for more researchers to expand knowledge and comprehension. Xin & Pearce, 1996 However, the literature has paid little attention to the evolutionary significance of guanxi in Chinese business (e.g., Guthrie, 1998).

Over the last 30 years, China's economic structure has evolved from centrally planned to market-planned. As early as the Third Plenary Session of the Eleventh Central Committee in late 1978, China's central government agreed to adopt a preliminary strategy for reforming the state-planned Potter (2004) discusses the economy and the development of a legal framework to encourage economic growth. Since then, the country's economic structure and institutional system have been constantly expanded and strengthened in order to accelerate and secure the country's economic growth. China's economic reform has been a huge success so far. The procedure can be divided into three major parts (Naughton, 2008; pp. 91-135). From 1978 to 1984, a dual pricing system was in place, allowing state-owned firms to sell production in excess of the quota while ensuring that commodity prices were determined by both plan and market prices at the same time. The fundamental principles of opening up the economy to foreign investment and allowing a market-based system to make adjustments have been confirmed and implemented. the primary structure for central planning. During the second phase (1984–1993), government intervention and regulations on privately owned businesses were reduced. Outstanding success in decentralizing state control, allowing provincial leaders to experiment with new ways to stimulate economic growth and privatize the public sector (Brandt and Rawski, 2008). The third stage (1993–present) saw the establishment of new institutions to support the market system, while the reconstruction of older institutions continued (Qian, 1999).

With the expansion of the Chinese market economy and the gradual evolution of institutional structure toward a rule-based system, the current market system has Chinese characteristics. In this study, the emphasis will be on China's most recent market conditions. Post-reform China has witnessed significant improvements in the market. In comparison to the past, there has been significant cognitive and intuitive development. Although transitional changes are still taking place, numerous uncertainties and flaws in the system continue to have a significant impact on business activity in such a merging marketplace.

In a transitional economy, business uncertainties caused by institutional reforms have been shown to have a significant impact on firms' strategic decisions regarding whether to be network- or market-based (Peng and Zhou, 2005; Peng and Luo, 2000). China's market system is undergoing a historical transition from network-based to rule-based governance. Guanxi, a personal network-based social mechanism, now serves as a lubricant in Chinese commercial operations, politics, and social life, all of which are permeated by (Luo, 2007). In contrast, a rule-based market mechanism requires participants to adhere to a poorly constructed institutional framework. Guanxi relationships, rather than formal institutional backing, help to mitigate the risks associated with future institutional shifts (Xin and Pearce, 1996). It is safe to say that in today's economic climate,



Chinese companies must possess both guanxi-based and market-based competencies in order to succeed long term. In other words, the purpose of this research is to gain a better understanding of the role of guanxi in strategic implementations and how it fits into a company's overall business strategy.

There is growing evidence that guanxi has a significant strategic impact on individual organizational outcomes (Luo and Chen, 1996; Zhang and Zhang, 2006). Numerous studies have demonstrated that guanxi can be used as a business tool to improve operational efficiency and reduce risk in a variety of ways (Xin and Pearce, 1996; Luo and Chen, 1997; Lovett et al., 1999; Standifird and Marshall, 2000). Given the strategic importance of guanxi, some empirical research is being conducted to determine how guanxi-based strategy works and what lessons can be drawn for management practice (Fock and Woo, 1998; Luo and Chen, 1996). Several other academics hope to develop models that understand guanxi's dynamic as a personal interaction mechanism in business (e.g., Lee et al., 2001; Wood et al., 2002; Wong et al., 2007). Despite this, little is understood about the strategic importance of guanxi. Although guanxi can be viewed as In terms of competitive advantage, the current level of empirical understanding and recognition is insufficient to advance theoretical understanding of guanxi-based strategic implementations. This raises the question of how guanxi can serve as a strategic resource to help businesses thrive. According to Tsang (1998), guanxi-based competency is difficult to maintain when considering the strategic state from a resource-based standpoint. However, the theoretical boundaries and context for guanxi-based competency remain unclear. More exploratory research is required due to a lack of relevant literature in this area, necessitating a better understanding of the strategic implications of guanxi-based competitive advantage. As a result, the author conducted this research to provide an overview of a theoretical perspective on how guanxi Relevance influences company strategy implementation and planning.

This theory-building qualitative study employed the grounded theory technique (Glaser and Strauss, 1967) as its methodology. A set of inductive research techniques based on grounded theory assists researchers in developing conceptual knowledge of the reality under study (Holstein and Gubrium, 2003). When research techniques are flexible and rigorous in validating emerging ideas at a highly abstracted level from grounded theory approaches, a researcher's freedom to create theories and the ability to conceptualize empirical facts are maximized (Glaser and Strauss, 1967). In this case, solid theoretical research into guanxi-based competency is required to gain new insights and broaden our understanding of this commercial phenomenon. Grounded theory is considered the most direct methodological technique. in theory-building because of its well-developed comparative methodology, as opposed to the methodology of creating theory through case studies (Eisendardt, 1989). (Glaser and Strauss 1967; Creswell 2007; Corbin and Strauss 2008; Charmaz 2006). It is not feasible to conduct grounded research for all research objectives and theoretical investigations (Charmaz, 1995). According to the findings of this study, the author's research focused on the social process of guanxi-based business implementations as well as the



nature of participants' interactions. As a result, the grounded theory approach is appropriate for the current research. Ground theory has certain advantages for conceptualizing and integrating emerging theoretical notions in a substantive domain, so it is considered an acceptable approach for this research endeavor, despite standard methodological norms."

STATEMENT OF THE PROBLEM

Based on the initial literature review and the first five to eight field interviews, a research problem and two key research questions were eventually developed in this grounded theory study. The first round of empirical research revealed a strong relationship between the development of a firm's competency and guanxi. Many of those interviewed believe that guanxi is ineffective for business success because it is not combined with specific, well-developed competitive advantages. Without a doubt, "guanxi" is an important strategic resource for developing a company's market-based competency and superior business performance over time in order to achieve long-term success. Thus, the emphasis is on the interdependence of guanxi and core competencies in the study of entrepreneurship. The investigation of Guanxi business phenomena cannot be separated from the market-based reality, which must be taken into account. As a result, both the emerging research topic and the two issues contribute to the study's objectives.

THE STUDY AIMS

To examine the relationship between guanxi and a firm's core competency development.

Research Questions: •

RESEARCH METHODOLOGY

We are interested in the how and why of social phenomena, so we used a qualitative research approach for this project (Yin, 1984). Eisenhardt (1989) explained this method of developing theory from real-world examples after reviewing all relevant literature at the time. Several academics have endorsed the importance of building theories on actual facts (e.g., Eisenhardt, 1989; Glaser and Strauss, 1967). Some researchers have developed their own techniques for building theories from cases (e.g., Bourgeois and Eisenhardt, 1988, Pettigrew, 1988, Sutton and Callahan, 1997), but grounded theory is by far the most direct methodological approach to building theories based on its well-developed comparative method, which relies on constant data comparison and the emergence of theoretical categories from evidence.

How do guanxi development and firm competence development impact each other? An incremental approach to case selection and data collection (Charmaz, 2006; Corbin and Strauss, 2008; Glaser and Strauss, 1967; Creswell, 2007).

According to Suddaby (2006) (p. 634), grounded theory is best suited to understanding how actors create meaning from intersubjective experience. A set of inductive research techniques based on grounded theory assists researchers in developing conceptual knowledge of the reality under study (Holstein and Gubrium, 2003). The pioneers of grounded theory (Glaser and Strauss, 1967)



primarily aimed to construct middle-range theories from qualitative data by employing flexible techniques for concentrating and speeding up qualitative data collection and processing. Also, Charmaz (1995) demonstrated that grounded theory is appropriate for analyzing individual processes and interpersonal connections.

and the reciprocal interactions between individuals and larger societal processes. Charmaz (1995). All of these applications are relevant to our analysis of corporate guanxi. To begin, guanxi is a self-initiated process that is deeply embedded in people's social relationships. Second, guanxi is based on the interaction of individuals in intimate relationships. Last but not least, guanxi is activated by reciprocal interactions between individuals from different organizations. A theoretical approach based on grounded data is thus the most appropriate method for studying China's guanxi phenomenon (Charmaz, 2006; Corbin and Strauss, 2008; Glaser and Strauss, 1967).

RESEARCH DESIGN:

An exploratory qualitative methodology is used in this study to investigate the depth and breadth of emergent theories, guided by a grounded theory methodological approach. As a result, the hypothesis. It just came into being on its own. To test the emergent theory, which is based on facts, and to draw connections to existing literatures, a comparative literature analysis is used (Glaser and Strauss, 1967; Strauss and Corbin, 1997).

DATA ANALYSIS

Given that guanxi-related "quasi-competitive advantage" is insufficient for businesses to maintain a long-term competitive advantage, market-based competence must be strategically developed in order to deal with the actual market situation. This is because the market is always changing. The truth can be understood by examining two extreme cases at once. If a company conducts all of its commercial dealings based solely on guanxi connections, however, it runs the risk of gradually losing Its market-based competitive capabilities and strengths. The reason for this is that, while guanxi-based commercial privilege may benefit the company in the short term, it will ultimately harm its significant business capabilities. On the other hand, if guanxi-based competency is completely eliminated, the company may be unable to thrive in such a guanxi-infused environment. The primary reason for this is that in such an economically developing environment, the company cannot function properly without the lubricant of guanxi. Furthermore, because the market is not fully established in rule-based operating systems, the company lacks the ability to deal with uncertainty without a certain level of guanxi competence. In To achieve long-term business success, one must develop both guanxi-based and market-based competencies.

YOUNG PERFORMERS ON THE LOCAL STAGE:

During the immature phase, a company intends to rely on guanxi to overcome shortages caused by market competition and environmental uncertainties. This is due to an economic downturn and a

lack of competencies within the company. The most pressing need for a newly founded business is to accelerate the capital acquisition process. In order to rapidly expand, a company must have access to critical resources and increase sales in order to generate profit. Guanxi plays an important role in achieving this goal by compensating for some gaps in market-based competence. A company in its early stages, however, has limited guanxi negotiating capabilities, strength and controlling capabilities because it lacks a competitive advantage and is unable to fully capitalize on market opportunities. As a result, the majority of the company's guanxi is based on family members and close friends with a strong ganqing foundation. As a result of its limited guanxi resources, the company's market diversification potential is severely limited. When a company has a strong guanxi background that is supported by the government, it has the advantage of being able to participate in industries that are institutionally forbidden. A friend of the mayor from another city invited one of the informants to participate in an investment plan managed by the city's municipal government. This is an example of how effectively guanxi can create appealing opportunities for some companies with a limited competitive advantage. As a result, the manager should first assess the growth of an industry and the business environment from a market standpoint, then anticipate the specific need for guanxi in a firm's development and plan guanxi-oriented tactics. Finally, the manager should assess the growth of an industry and the business environment through a market lens.

MATURE AND LARGE STAGE:

When a company has matured, it has effectively developed both its core competencies and its competitive advantages. It is feasible to generate significant negotiating power by leveraging its primary competitive advantages. Furthermore, as the company's economic scale increases, so do its guanxi resources, which become more intricate and diverse. Because of its developed dominating power, the company has a clear advantage in the majority of guanxi negotiation situations. During the guanxi discussions, management becomes more optimistic. At this stage, shifts in the direction of guanxi are distinguished by two features.

The first distinguishing characteristic is the presence of a specific orientation in guanxi formation. People from outside the company seek to strengthen their guanxi with the company in order to do business with it. This is because enhanced market competency adds value to guanxi equivalents. Due to this, Guanxi with a higher potential value is engaged. Because of the firm's dominant guanxi negotiating power, the company becomes more confident in using guanxi, and its ability to solve problems improves significantly.

In guanxi management, the second characteristic is known as "top level leadership orientation." To successfully navigate the expanded and more complex guanxi networks, a company will recruit more high-ranking officials and managers. The reason for this is that the most senior executives in any organization are always located at the center of their own organizational networks. As a result, they are the dominant player in a hierarchical system. However, both parties must make the



decision; in order to develop guanxi. Both parties must feel that they have benefited from the relationship. As a result, expanding the company's business is necessary for elevating the guanxi network to a higher level of sophistication.

Furthermore, as the company's influence grows in the local market and economy, the ability of local government officials to intervene becomes increasingly important. Guanxi with the government is the most valuable type of guanxi that market leaders can have in this situation. On the one hand, business operations are proceeding as planned, and political forces have a greater influence on a company's strategic orientation. The use of guanxi in business should make it easier for businesses to comply.

CONCLUSION

The author has provided a thorough explanation of how the grounded theory methodological approach was applied to this research in this section of the article. Students in business management studies rarely use grounded theory. Because this methodological technique is only appropriate for a limited number of different study objectives (Charmaz, 2006; Glaser, 1998). Because of the author's preoccupation with this subject, numerous in-depth explanations were provided on the relevant methodological issues associated with grounded theory methodology. To provide a better understanding of how grounded theory is applied to a genuine research scenario in business management, the author of this study demonstrated his empirical research. procedure. This was done so that the reader could have a better understanding of how grounded theory is applied. As a result, the methodological basis and specific research procedures for this empirical study have been combined.

LIMITATIONS OF THE STUDY

"This study contains many flaws that future researchers should be aware of. Although research findings may help define theoretical bounds for guanxi-based strategy analysis, their validity must be tested in a variety of substantive settings before being confirmed. More empirical research can help to prove and strengthen the legitimacy of this novel theory. The authors of this paper also propose conducting additional empirical research to advance current theoretical understandings of the newly coined concept of "quasi-competitive advantage."

In Given the new emerging theory of quasi-competitive advantage, the underlying social process of guanxi-based strategic implementations has been abstracted. However, the scope of this empirical study was limited to domestic businesses, which are thought to be heavily influenced by guanxi dynamics in Chinese business circles. It's also worth looking into how multinational corporations (MNEs) in China use strategic behavior. It's fascinating to compare how multinational corporations and local companies with similar guanxi dynamics behave in terms of guanxi management. Cross-cultural participation may be an important influencing factor for foreign managers with vastly different cultural backgrounds. If multinational corporations successfully implement their guanxi-based business strategy while dealing with guanxi issues in



China, further study may focus on This, along with the distinctions between multinational corporations and local businesses in guanxi-based strategic management.

REFERENCES

1. Aaker D. A. (1989), 'Managing Assets and Skills: The Key to A Sustainable Competitive Advantage', California Management Review, Winter, 91-106.
2. Abramson N. R. and Ai J. X. (1997), 'Using Guanxi-Style Buyer-Seller Relationship in China: Reducing Uncertainty and Improving Performance Outcomes', The International Executive, 39, 6, 765-804.
3. Ahlstrom D., Bruton G. and Liu S. (2000), 'Navigating China's Changing Economy: Strategies for Private Firms', Business Horizon, January-February, 5-15.
4. Ahlstrom D. and Bruton G. D. (2002), 'An Institutional Perspective on the Role of Culture in Shaping Strategic Actions by Technology-focused Entrepreneurial Firms in China', Entrepreneurship: Theory and Practice, 26, 4, 53-69.
5. Aldrich H. (1979), Organizations and Environments, (NJ:Prentice-Hall, Englewood Cliffs).
6. Backhaus K. (1999), Industries gutter Marketing, (Vahlen, Munich).
7. Balnaves M. and Caputi P. (2001), Introduction to Quantitative Research Methods: An Investigative Approach, (Sage Publications, London).
8. Barney J. B. (1986a), 'Organizational Culture: Can It be a Source of Sustained Competitive Advantage?' Academy of Management Review, 11, 656-65.
9. Baszanger I. (1998), 'The Work Sites of an American Interactionist: Anselm, L. Strauss, 1917-1996', Symbolic Interaction, 21, 4, 353-78.
10. Becker G. S. (1964), Human Capital, (Columbia, New York).
11. Becker H. S. (1958), 'Problems of Inference and Proof in Participant Observation', American Sociological Review, Dec., 652-60.
12. Becker H. S. (1970), Sociological Work: Method and Substance, (Aldine, Chicago). Becker H. S. and Geer B. (1960), 'The Analysis of Qualitative Field Data', in R. N.
13. Adams and J. J. Preiss (eds.), Human Organization Research, (Homewood: Dorsey Press Inc.), 279-89.
14. Becker P. H. (1993), 'Common Pirfalls in Published Grounded Theory Research', Qualitative Health Research, 3, 2, 254-60.
15. Caves R. E. (1980), 'Industrial Organization, Corporate Strategy and Structure', Journal of Economic Literature, 18, 1, 64-92.
16. Caves R. E. and Porter M. E. (1977), 'From Entry Barries to Mobility Barries: Conjectural Decisions and Contrived Deterrence to New Competition', Quarterly Journal of Economics, 91, 241-62.



17. Chan R. Y. K., Cheng L. T. W. and Szato R. W. F. (2002), 'The Dynamics of Guanxi and Ethics for Chinese Executives', *Journal of Business Ethics*, 41, 4, 327-66.
18. Chandler A. D. (1962), *Strategy and Structure: Chapters in History of American Industrial Enterprise*, (MIT Press, Cambridge, MA).
19. Chang Y. N. (1976), 'Early Chinese Management Thought', *California Management Review*, 19, 71-76.
20. Charmaz K. (1990), 'Discovering Chronic Illness: Using Grounded Theory', *Social Science and Medicine*, 30, 1161-72.
21. David M. and Sutton C. D. (2004), *Social Research: The Basics*, (Sage Publications, London).
22. Davidson W. H. (1987), 'Creating and Managing Joint Ventures in China', *California Management Review*, Summer, 77-94.
23. Davies H. (1995), 'Interpreting Guanxi: the Role of Personal Connections in A High Context Transitional Economy', in H. Davies (ed.), *China Business: Context & Issues*, (Hong Kong: Longman).
24. Davies H., Leung T. K. P., Luk S. T. K. and Wong Y. H. (1995), 'The Benefits of Guanxi: the Value of Relationships in Developing the Chinese Market', *Industrial Marketing Management*, 24, 207-14.
25. Eisendhardt K. M. (1989), 'Building Theories from Case Study Research', *Academy of Management Review*, 14, 4, 532-50.
26. Eiteman D. K. (1990), 'American Executives' Perceptions of Negotiating Joint Ventures with the People's Republic of China: Lessons Learned', *Columbia Journal of World Business*, Winter, 59-67.
27. Evered R. and Louis M. R. (1981), 'Alternative Perspectives in the Organizational Sciences: 'Inquiry from the Inside' and 'Inquiry from the Outside'', *Academy of Management Review*, 6, 3 (July), 385-95.
28. Fan Y. (2002a), 'Guanxi's Consequences: Personal Gains at Social Cost', *Journal of Business Ethics*, 38, 4, 371-80.
29. Fan Y. (2002b), 'Questioning Guanxi: Definition, Classification and Implications', *International Business Review*, 11, 5, 5543-61.
31. Fei X. T. (1992), *From the Soil: The Foundations of Chinese Society* (University of California Press, Berkeley).
32. Flick U. (2002), *An Introduction to Qualitative Research*, (Sage, London).
33. Fock H. K. Y. and Woo K. (1998), 'The China Market: Strategic Implications of Guanxi', *Business Strategy Review*, 9, 3, 33-43.
34. Galaskiewicz J. and Wasserman S. (1989), 'Mimetic Processes within an Interorganisational Field: An Empirical Test', *Administrative Science Quarterly*, 34, 454-79.



35. Geertz C. (1974), 'From the Native's Point of View: On the Nature of Anthropological Understanding', *Bulletin of the American Academy of Arts and Sciences*, 28, 1, 27-45.
36. George D. (1993), *Competing with Integrity in International Business*, (NY: Oxford University Press, New York).
37. Ghauri P. N. and Gronhaug K. (2002), *Research Methods in Business Studies: A Practical Guide 2nd Edition.*, (Prentice-Hall, Harlow).
38. Gibbons M. C., Limoges H. N., Schwartzman S., Scott P. and Trow M. (1994), *The New Production of Knowledge: The Dynamics of Science and Research in Contemporary Societies*, (Sage, London).
39. Hakansson H. and Snehota I. (1995), *Developing Relationships in Business Networks*,
40. (Routledge, London).
41. Hakansson H. G. (1982), *International Marketing and Purchasing of Industrial Goods: An Interaction Approach* (John Wiley Chichester).
42. Haley G. T., Tan C. T. and Haley U. C. V. (1998), *New Asian Emperors*, (Butterworth Heinemann, UK).
43. Hall R. (1992), 'The Strategic Analysis of Intangible Resources', *Strategic Management Journal*, 13, 135-44.
44. Hamel G. and Prahalad C. K. (1994), *Competing for the Future: Breakthrough Strategies for Seizing Control of Your Industry and Creating the Markets for Tomorrow*, (Harvard Business School Press, Boston, MA).
45. Jacobs L., Guopei G. and Herbig P. (1995), 'Confucian Roots in China: A Force for Today's Business', *Management Decisions*, 33, 10, 29-34.
46. Jarillo J. C. (1988), 'On Strategic Networks', *Strategic Management Journal*, 9, 31-41. Jarillo J. C. and Ricar J. (1987), 'Sustaining Network', *Interfaces*, 17, 1, 82-91.
47. Kelle U. (2007), 'The Development of Categories: Different Approaches in Grounded Theory', in A. Bryant and K. Charmaz (eds.), *The Sage Handbook of Grounded Theory*, (London: Sage), 191-213.
48. King A. Y. (1991), 'Kuan-his and Network Building: A Sociological Interpretation',
49. *Daedalus*, 120, 63-84.
50. Kipnis A. B. (1997), *Producing Guanxi: Sentiment, Self, and Subculture in a North China Village*, (Duke University Press, Durham UK).
51. Klein, Craford and Alchain (1978), 'Vertical Integration, Appropriable Rents and the Competition Contracting Process', *Journal of Law and Economics*, 21, 291-326.
52. Kogut B. and Singh H. (1988), 'The Effect of National Culture on the Choice of Entry Mode', *Journal of International Business Studies*, 19, 3, 87-98.



Available online at www.jomaar.com

JOURNAL OF MANAGEMENT AND ARCHITECTURE RESEARCH

E ISSN: 2689 3541; P ISSN 2689 355X

Volume:06; Issue:02 (2024)

53. Kolakowski L. (1968), *The Alienation of Reason: A History of Positivist Thought*, (NY: Doubleday, Garden City).